### COUNCIL ON SOCIAL WORK EDUCATION - FORM AS 4(M) [PROGRAM NAME] MASTER'S SOCIAL WORK PROGRAM ASSESSMENT OF STUDENT LEARNING OUTCOMES

## Form AS 4(M) A form required for Reaffirmation, Candidacy, and ongoing compliance per AS 4.0.3.

## Submitting Form AS 4 for Reaffirmation Self-Study & Candidacy Benchmarks

This form is used to assist the Commission on Accreditation in the evaluation of the program's compliance with the accreditation standard below:

**4.0.3:** The program uses Form AS 4(B) and/or Form AS 4(M) to report its most recent assessment outcomes for each program option to constituents and the public on its website and routinely up-dates (minimally every 2 years) its findings.

All programs accredited by the Council on Social Work Education's (CSWE) Commission on Accreditation (COA) are required to measure and report student learning outcomes. All students are assessed using a minimum of two measures on their mastery of the nine competencies that comprise the Educational Policy and Accreditation Standards (EPAS) and any additional competencies programs may choose to add. These holistic competencies reflect the dimensions (knowledge, values, skills, and cognitive & affective processes) of social work practice that all social workers are expected to master during their professional training.

Programs determine a percentage-based benchmark for each competency and determine an outcome-measure benchmark (minimum score) for each measure. The competency benchmark (which can differ for each competency) represents the minimum percent of students the program expects to have achieved the outcome measure benchmarks in both/all measures for each of the nine competencies. The program then determines the percentage of students that attained each outcome measure (e.g., minimum score or higher), and aggregates the percentages for both/all measures together to obtain the percentage of students demonstrating competence inclusive of two (2) or more measures. The result of aggregating both/all outcome measure percentages provides the percentage of students achieving the competency benchmark. An aggregated percentage at or above the competency benchmark is considered achievement of that competency. If the program has more than one program option, the program must report data for each program option, and also an aggregate of all program options combined to determine an overall percentage of students across all program options achieving the competency benchmark.

## Posting Form AS 4 for Ongoing Compliance with AS 4.0.3

Per the requirement of CSWE COA's recognizing body, the Council on Higher Education Accreditation (CHEA), and accreditation standard 4.0.3, programs must post this form publicly on its website and routinely up-date (minimally every 2 years) its findings. Upon request, programs must provide CSWE with the weblink to the published form on the program's website where it is accessible to the public. Data presented on the form must be collected within 2 years of today's date at all times.

## Summary of the Program's Assessment Plan | Generalist Practice

All students are assessed using a minimum of two measures on their mastery of the nine competencies that comprise the Educational Policy and Accreditation Standards of the Council on Social Work Education and any additional competencies programs may choose to add. Summarize the program's competency-based assessment plan. Programs may add/delete rows to accurately reflect the number measures included in the data presented.

Assessment Measure #1:Field Evaluation	
Dimension(s) assessed: Knowledge, Values, Skills, Cognitive/Affective Processes	
When/where students are assessed: At the end of the academic year in their field agency using the End of Year Generalist/ Foundation Field Evaluation	
Who assessed student competence: Field Instructor	
Outcome Measure Benchmark (minimum score indicative of achievement) for Competencies 1-9: Students need to demonstrate "basic competence" on the field instrument, which is a score of 3 out of 5.	60%
Competency Benchmark (percent of students the program expects to have achieved the minimum scores, inclusive of all measures) for Competencies 1-9:	90%
Assessment Measure #2: MSW Foundation Curriculum (SWE	AP)
Dimension(s) assessed: Knowledge	
When/where students are assessed: Students were assessed at the end of the generalist year using the Social Work Education Assessment Project (SWEAP) MSW Foundation Curriculum online assessment instrument.	
Who assessed student competence: SWEAP survey instrument	
Outcome Measure Benchmark (minimum score indicative of achievement) for Competencies 1-9:	50%
Competency Benchmark (percent of students the program expects to have achieved the minimum scores, inclusive of all measures) for Competencies 1-9:	90%

## Summary of the Program's Assessment Plan | Specialized Practice

Students are assessed using a minimum of two measures on their mastery of the nine competencies that comprise the Educational Policy and Accreditation Standards of the Council on Social Work Education and any additional competencies programs may choose to add, that reflect the area of specialized practice. Summarize the program's competency-based assessment plan. Programs may add/delete rows to accurately reflect the number of areas of specialized practice and each measure included in the data presented.

# Area of Specialized Practice #1 (Practice in Leadership with Communities and Organizations)

Assessment Measure #1: Field Evaluation	
Dimension(s) assessed: Knowledge, Values, Skills, Cognitive/Affective Processes	
When/where students are assessed: At the end of the academic year in their field agency using the End of Year Advanced Field Evaluation	
Who assessed student competence: Field Instructor	
Outcome Measure Benchmark (minimum score indicative of achievement) for Competencies 1-9:	60%
Competency Benchmark (percent of students the program expects to have achieved the minimum scores, inclusive of all measures) for Competencies 1-9:	90%
Assessment Measure #2: MSW Advanced Concentration Assessmen	t (SWEAP)
Dimension(s) assessed: Knowledge, Values, Skills, Cognitive/Affective Processes	
When/where students are assessed: Students are assessed at the end of the advanced year with an online survey administered by SWEAP using questions developed by the MSW Advanced Concentration faculty.	
Who assessed student competence: MSW faculty developed the competency questions which were administered by SWEAP	
Outcome Measure Benchmark (minimum score indicative of achievement) for Competencies 1-9:	50%
Competency Benchmark (percent of students the program expects to have achieved the minimum scores, inclusive of all measures) for Competencies 1-9:	90%

## Area of Specialized Practice # 2 (Clinical Social Work Practice) Area of Specialization Practice # 3 (Health Across the Lifespan) Area of Specialization Practice # 4 (Social Work with Children, Youth and Families)

Assessment Measure #1: Field Evaluation	
Dimension(s) assessed: Knowledge, Values, Skills, Cognitive/Affective Processes	
When/where students are assessed: At the end of the academic year in their field agency using the End of Year Advanced Field Evaluation	
Who assessed student competence: Field Instructor	
Outcome Measure Benchmark (minimum score indicative of achievement) for Competencies 1-9:	60%
Competency Benchmark (percent of students the program expects to have achieved the minimum scores, inclusive of all measures) for Competencies 1-9:	90%
Assessment Measure #2: MSW Advanced Concentration Assessmen	t (SWEAP)
Dimension(s) assessed: Knowledge, Values, Skills, Cognitive/Affective Processes	
When/where students are assessed: Students are assessed at the end of the advanced year with an online survey administered by SWEAP using questions developed by the MSW Advanced Concentration faculty.	
Who assessed student competence: MSW faculty developed the competency questions which were administered by SWEAP	
Outcome Measure Benchmark (minimum score indicative of achievement) for Competencies 1-9:	60%
Competency Benchmark (percent of students the program expects to have achieved the minimum scores, inclusive of all measures) for Competencies 1-9:	90%

## **Directions for completing Form AS 4**

Indicate the benchmark percentage for each competency. The competency benchmark is the percent of students the program expects to have achieved both/all outcome measure benchmarks. Programs calculate the percentage of students achieving each outcome measure benchmark, then calculate the percentage of students achieving each competency inclusive of two or more measures for each program option. Programs with multiple program options must present data for each program option, and in aggregate inclusive of all program options per competency. Programs may add/delete columns and to accurately reflect the number of program options offered. This is a required form. The assessment data table may be altered to accurately reflect the number of program options offered and additional program-developed competencies. However, beyond these formatting alternations, the program may not alter the content of this form.

			Fortiand	option				
COMPETENCY	COMPETENCY BENCHMARK (GENERALIST)	COMPETENCY BENCHMARK (AREA OF SPECIALIZED PRACTICE)	PERCENTAGE OF STUDENTS ACHIEVING BENCHMARK					
			Generalist Practice n = 127 (Field Evaluation) n = 93 (MSW Foundation Curriculum)	Area of Specialized Practice #1 - Practice and Leadership w/ Communities and Organizations n = 25 (Field Evaluation) n = 28 (MSW Advanced Concentration Assessment)	Area of Specialized Practice #2 – Clinical SW Practice n = 53 (Field Evaluation) n = 31 (MSW Advanced Concentration Assessment)	Area of Specialized Practice #3 – Health Across the Lifespan n = 35 (Field Evaluation) n = 32 (MSW Advanced Concentration Assessment)	Area of Specialized Practice #4 – SW with Children, Youth, and Families n = 49 (Field Evaluation) n = 31 (MSW Advanced Concentration Assessment)	
Competency 1: Demonstrate Ethical and Professional Behavior	90%	90%	98%	91%	95%	88%	92%	
Competency 2: Engage Diversity and Difference in Practice	90%	90%	98%	89%	95%	96%	98%	
Competency 3: Advance Human Rights and Social, Economic, and Environmental Justice	90%	90%	99%	84%	95%	94%	96%	
Competency 4: Engage In Practice-informed Research	90%	90%	86%	89%	94%	68%	95%	

#### Assessment Data Collected during the Academic Year (2019-2020) Portland Option

and Research-informed Practice							
Competency 5: Engage in Policy Practice	90%	90%	95%	89%	91%	83%	89%
Competency 6: Engage with Individuals, Families, Groups, Organizations, and Communities	90%	90%	98%	91%	96%	93%	96%
Competency 7: Assess Individuals, Families, Groups, Organizations, and Communities	90%	90%	95%	84%	84%	93%	97%
Competency 8: Intervene with Individuals, Families, Groups, Organizations, and Communities	90%	90%	94%	86%	92%	77%	93%
Competency 9: Evaluate Practice with Individuals, Families, Groups, Organizations, and Communities	90%	90%	88%	86%	70%	88%	70%

## **ONLINE OPTION**

COMPETENCY	COMPETENCY BENCHMARK (GENERALIST)	COMPETENCY BENCHMARK (AREA OF SPECIALIZED PRACTICE)	PERCENTAGE OF STUDENTS ACHIEVING BENCHMARK				
			Generalist Practice n = 36 (Field Evaluation) n = 19 (MSW Foundation Curriculum)	Area of Specialized Practice Practice and Leadership w/ Communities and Organizations n = 23 (Field Evaluation) n = 13 (MSW Advanced Concentration Assessment)	Area of Specialized Practice – Health Across the Lifespan n = 20 (Field Evaluation) n =13 (MSW Advanced Concentration Assessment)		
Competency 1: Demonstrate Ethical and Professional Behavior	90%	90%	100%	100%	77%		
Competency 2: Engage Diversity and Difference in Practice	90%	90%	100%	100%	98%		
Competency 3: Advance Human Rights and Social, Economic, and Environmental Justice	90%	90%	97%	96%	90%		
Competency 4: Engage In Practice- informed Research and Research- informed Practice	90%	90%	92%	100%	49%		
Competency 5: Engage in Policy Practice	90%	90%	94%	96%	79%		
Competency 6: Engage with Individuals, Families, Groups, Organizations, and Communities	90%	90%	100%	100%	82%		
Competency 7: Assess Individuals, Families, Groups, Organizations, and Communities	90%	90%	95%	100%	80%		
Competency 8: Intervene with Individuals, Families, Groups, Organizations, and Communities	90%	90%	95%	98%	71%		
Competency 9: Evaluate Practice with Individuals, Families, Groups, Organizations, and Communities	90%	90%	95%	96%	88%		

# SALEM OPTION

COMPETENCY	COMPETENCY BENCHMARK (FOUNDATION)	COMPETENCY BENCHMARK (SPECIALIZATION)	PERCENTAGE OF STUDENTS ACHIEVING BENCHMARK			
			Generalist Practice n = 23 (Field Evaluation) n = 16 (MSW Foundation Curriculum)	Area of Specialized Practice – Clinical SW Practice No Advanced Year Cohort		
Competency 1: Demonstrate Ethical and Professional Behavior	90%	90%	100%			
Competency 2: Engage Diversity and Difference in Practice	90%	90%	100%			
Competency 3: Advance Human Rights and Social, Economic, and Environmental Justice	90%	90%	100%			
Competency 4: Engage In Practice-informed Research and Research-informed Practice	90%	90%	98%			
Competency 5: Engage in Policy Practice	90%	90%	96%			
Competency 6: Engage with Individuals, Families, Groups, Organizations, and Communities	90%	90%	100%			
Competency 7: Assess Individuals, Families, Groups, Organizations, and Communities	90%	90%	96%			
Competency 8: Intervene with Individuals, Families, Groups, Organizations, and Communities	90%	90%	96%			
Competency 9: Evaluate Practice with Individuals, Families, Groups, Organizations, and Communities	90%	90%	95%			

# **EUGENE OPTION**

COMPETENCY	COMPETENCY BENCHMARK (FOUNDATION)	COMPETENCY BENCHMARK (SPECIALIZATION)	PERCENTAGE OF STUDENTS ACHIEVING BENCHMARK			
			Generalist Practice	Area of Specialized Practice – Clinical SW Practice		
			No Cohort 2019	n = 22 (Field Evaluation)		
				n = 20 (MSW Advanced Concentration Assessment)		
Competency 1: Demonstrate Ethical and Professional Behavior	90%	90%		98%		
Competency 2: Engage Diversity and Difference in Practice	90%	90%		98%		
Competency 3: Advance Human Rights and Social, Economic, and Environmental Justice	90%	90%		91%		
Competency 4: Engage In Practice- informed Research and Research- informed Practice	90%	90%		86%		
Competency 5: Engage in Policy Practice	90%	90%		86%		
Competency 6: Engage with Individuals, Families, Groups, Organizations, and Communities	90%	90%		98%		
Competency 7: Assess Individuals, Families, Groups, Organizations, and Communities	90%	90%		88%		
Competency 8: Intervene with Individuals, Families, Groups, Organizations, and Communities	90%	90%		88%		
Competency 9: Evaluate Practice with Individuals, Families, Groups, Organizations, and Communities	90%	90%		73%		

# SOUTHERN OREGON OPTION

COMPETENCY	COMPETENCY BENCHMARK (FOUNDATION)	COMPETENCY BENCHMARK (SPECIALIZATION)	PERCENTAGE OF STUDE	ENTS ACHIEVING BENCHMARK		
			Generalist Practice n = 19 (Field Evaluation) n = 11 (MSW Foundation Curriculum)	Area of Specialized Practice – Clinical SW Practice No Cohort		
Competency 1: Demonstrate Ethical and Professional Behavior	90%	90%	100%			
Competency 2: Engage Diversity and Difference in Practice	90%	90%	100%			
Competency 3: Advance Human Rights and Social, Economic, and Environmental Justice	90%	90%	98%			
Competency 4: Engage In Practice- informed Research and Research- informed Practice	90%	90%	79%			
Competency 5: Engage in Policy Practice	90%	90%	87%			
Competency 6: Engage with Individuals, Families, Groups, Organizations, and Communities	90%	90%	98%			
Competency 7: Assess Individuals, Families, Groups, Organizations, and Communities	90%	90%	92%			
Competency 8: Intervene with Individuals, Families, Groups, Organizations, and Communities	90%	90%	92%			
Competency 9: Evaluate Practice with Individuals, Families, Groups, Organizations, and Communities	90%	90%	79%			

# **CENTRAL OREGON OPTION**

COMPETENCY	COMPETENCY BENCHMARK (FOUNDATION)	COMPETENCY BENCHMARK (SPECIALIZATION)	PERCENTAGE OF STUDENTS ACHIEVING BENCHMARK			
			Generalist Practice No Cohort 2019	Area of Specialized Practice – Clinical SW Practice No Cohort		
Competency 1: Demonstrate Ethical and Professional Behavior	90%	90%				
Competency 2: Engage Diversity and Difference in Practice	90%	90%				
Competency 3: Advance Human Rights and Social, Economic, and Environmental Justice	90%	90%				
Competency 4: Engage In Practice- informed Research and Research- informed Practice	90%	90%				
Competency 5: Engage in Policy Practice	90%	90%				
Competency 6: Engage with Individuals, Families, Groups, Organizations, and Communities	90%	90%				
Competency 7: Assess Individuals, Families, Groups, Organizations, and Communities	90%	90%				
Competency 8: Intervene with Individuals, Families, Groups, Organizations, and Communities	90%	90%				
Competency 9: Evaluate Practice with Individuals, Families, Groups, Organizations, and Communities	90%	90%				

## OVERALL ASSESSMENT OF STUDENT LEARNING OUTCOMES (Aggregate Findings for All Students from All Program Options Combined)

## Assessment Data Collected during the Academic Year (2019-2020)

	COMPETENCY BENCHMARK	COMPETENCY BENCHMARK							
COMPETENCY	(GENERALIST)	(AREA OF SPECIALIZED PRACTICE)	PERCENTAGE OF STUDENTS ACHIEVING BENCHMARK						
			Area of Specialized Practice #1Area of Specialized Practice #1Area of Specialized Practice #2 (Clinical Social Work)Area of Specialized Practice #3 (Health Across the Lifespan)Area of Specialized Practice #3 (Health 						
Competency 1: Demonstrate Ethical and Professional Behavior	90%	90%	100%	96%	97%	83%	92%		
Competency 2: Engage Diversity and Difference in Practice	90%	90%	100%	95%	97%	97%	98%		
Competency 3: Advance Human Rights and Social, Economic, and Environmental Justice	90%	90%	99%	90%	93%	92%	96%		
Competency 4: Engage In Practice-informed Research and Research-informed Practice	90%	90%	89%	95%	90%	59%	95%		
Competency 5: Engage in Policy Practice	90%	90%	93%	93%	89%	81%	89%		
Competency 6: Engage with Individuals, Families, Groups, Organizations, and Communities	90%	90%	99%	96%	97%	88%	96%		

Competency 7: Assess Individuals, Families, Groups, Organizations, and Communities	90%	90%	95%	92%	86%	87%	97%
Competency 8: Intervene with Individuals, Families, Groups, Organizations, and Communities	90%	90%	94%	92%	90%	74%	93%
Competency 9: Evaluate Practice with Individuals, Families, Groups, Organizations, and Communities	90%	90%	89%	92%	72%	88%	70%