

**Ph.D. in Social Work and Social Research
Doctoral Comprehensive Examination Committee
Evaluation Guide for Scholarly Paper and Annotated Bibliography**

Student : _____ Reviewer: _____

Paper Title: _____

Journal intended for submission/submitted: _____

Specialization area of annotated bibliography: _____

Evaluation Criteria for the Scholarly Paper

In your responses below, please note major strengths and weaknesses of the paper.

Articulation of a significant research question

<input type="checkbox"/> Strong	<input type="checkbox"/> Satisfactory	<input type="checkbox"/> Needs Revision	<input type="checkbox"/> Not Acceptable
---------------------------------	---------------------------------------	---	---

Comments:

Relevance to social sciences/social work

<input type="checkbox"/> Strong	<input type="checkbox"/> Satisfactory	<input type="checkbox"/> Needs Revision	<input type="checkbox"/> Not Acceptable
---------------------------------	---------------------------------------	---	---

Comments:

Theoretical framework and literature review

<input type="checkbox"/> Strong	<input type="checkbox"/> Satisfactory	<input type="checkbox"/> Needs Revision	<input type="checkbox"/> Not Acceptable
---------------------------------	---------------------------------------	---	---

Comments:

Methodology (participants, instruments, data collection, analysis, evaluation)

<input type="checkbox"/> Strong	<input type="checkbox"/> Satisfactory	<input type="checkbox"/> Needs Revision	<input type="checkbox"/> Not Acceptable
---------------------------------	---------------------------------------	---	---

Comments:

Findings

<input type="checkbox"/> Strong	<input type="checkbox"/> Satisfactory	<input type="checkbox"/> Needs Revision	<input type="checkbox"/> Not Acceptable
---------------------------------	---------------------------------------	---	---

Comments:

Conclusion

<input type="checkbox"/> Strong	<input type="checkbox"/> Satisfactory	<input type="checkbox"/> Needs Revision	<input type="checkbox"/> Not Acceptable
---------------------------------	---------------------------------------	---	---

Comments:

Presentation (format, style, organization)

<input type="checkbox"/> Strong	<input type="checkbox"/> Satisfactory	<input type="checkbox"/> Needs Revision	<input type="checkbox"/> Not Acceptable
---------------------------------	---------------------------------------	---	---

Comments:

Substance (detail, scope, etc)

<input type="checkbox"/> Strong	<input type="checkbox"/> Satisfactory	<input type="checkbox"/> Needs Revision	<input type="checkbox"/> Not Acceptable
---------------------------------	---------------------------------------	---	---

Comments:

Summary comments on paper:

Scholarly Paper Recommendation:

- Meets the requirement of a scholarly publishable paper for the comprehensive examination.
- Needs minor revisions to meet the requirement of a scholarly publishable paper for the comprehensive examination.
- Needs major revisions to meet the requirement of a scholarly publishable paper for the comprehensive examination.

Evaluation Criteria for the Annotated Bibliography

In your responses below, please note major strengths and weaknesses of the annotated bibliography.

Demonstrates mastery in specialization area in the following categories:

Theoretical literature

<input type="checkbox"/> Strong	<input type="checkbox"/> Satisfactory	<input type="checkbox"/> Needs Revision	<input type="checkbox"/> Not Acceptable
---------------------------------	---------------------------------------	---	---

Comments:

Relevant empirical literature

<input type="checkbox"/> Strong	<input type="checkbox"/> Satisfactory	<input type="checkbox"/> Needs Revision	<input type="checkbox"/> Not Acceptable
---------------------------------	---------------------------------------	---	---

Comments:

Methodology

<input type="checkbox"/> Strong	<input type="checkbox"/> Satisfactory	<input type="checkbox"/> Needs Revision	<input type="checkbox"/> Not Acceptable
---------------------------------	---------------------------------------	---	---

Comments:

Entries are well-annotated, including student's critical assessment of the article, and accurate in APA format

<input type="checkbox"/> Strong	<input type="checkbox"/> Satisfactory	<input type="checkbox"/> Needs Revision	<input type="checkbox"/> Not Acceptable
---------------------------------	---------------------------------------	---	---

Comments:

Presentation (format, style, organization)

<input type="checkbox"/> Strong	<input type="checkbox"/> Satisfactory	<input type="checkbox"/> Needs Revision	<input type="checkbox"/> Not Acceptable
---------------------------------	---------------------------------------	---	---

Comments:

Substance (detail, scope, etc)

<input type="checkbox"/> Strong	<input type="checkbox"/> Satisfactory	<input type="checkbox"/> Needs Revision	<input type="checkbox"/> Not Acceptable
---------------------------------	---------------------------------------	---	---

Comments:

Summary comments on annotated bibliography:

Annotated Bibliography Recommendation:

- Meets the requirement for an annotated bibliography for the comprehensive examination
- Needs minor revisions to meet the requirement for an annotated bibliography for the comprehensive examination
- Needs major revisions to meet the requirement for an annotated bibliography for the comprehensive examination

Overall comments or suggestions on paper & annotated bibliography

Reviewer Signature

Date