Ph.D. in Social Work and Social Research
Doctoral Comprehensive Examination Committee
Evaluation Guide for Scholarly Paper and Annotated Bibliography

Student: ________________________________ Reviewer: ________________________________

Paper Title: _____________________________________________________________________

Journal intended for submission/submitted: ___________________________________________

Specialization area of annotated bibliography: _______________________________________

**Evaluation Criteria for the Scholarly Paper**
In your responses below, please note major strengths and weaknesses of the paper.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Evaluation Criteria</th>
<th>Strong</th>
<th>Satisfactory</th>
<th>Needs Revision</th>
<th>Not Acceptable</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Articulation of a significant research question</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Relevance to social sciences/social work</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Theoretical framework and literature review</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Methodology (participants, instruments, data collection, analysis, evaluation)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Findings</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conclusion</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Comments:

In your responses below, please note major strengths and weaknesses of the paper.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Evaluation Criteria</th>
<th>Strong</th>
<th>Satisfactory</th>
<th>Needs Revision</th>
<th>Not Acceptable</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Articulation of a significant research question</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Relevance to social sciences/social work</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Theoretical framework and literature review</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Methodology (participants, instruments, data collection, analysis, evaluation)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Findings</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conclusion</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Comments:
Presentation (format, style, organization)

☐ Strong  ☐ Satisfactory  ☐ Needs Revision  ☐ Not Acceptable

Comments:

Substance (detail, scope, etc)

☐ Strong  ☐ Satisfactory  ☐ Needs Revision  ☐ Not Acceptable

Comments:

Summary comments on paper:

Scholarly Paper Recommendation:

☐ Meets the requirement of a scholarly publishable paper for the comprehensive examination.
☐ Needs minor revisions to meet the requirement of a scholarly publishable paper for the comprehensive examination.
☐ Needs major revisions to meet the requirement of a scholarly publishable paper for the comprehensive examination.

Evaluation Criteria for the Annotated Bibliography
In your responses below, please note major strengths and weaknesses of the annotated bibliography.

Demonstrates mastery in specialization area in the following categories:

Theoretical literature

☐ Strong  ☐ Satisfactory  ☐ Needs Revision  ☐ Not Acceptable

Comments:

Relevant empirical literature

☐ Strong  ☐ Satisfactory  ☐ Needs Revision  ☐ Not Acceptable

Comments:

Methodology

☐ Strong  ☐ Satisfactory  ☐ Needs Revision  ☐ Not Acceptable

Comments:
Entries are well-annotated, including student's critical assessment of the article, and accurate in APA format

- Strong  - Satisfactory  - Needs Revision  - Not Acceptable

Comments:

Presentation (format, style, organization)

- Strong  - Satisfactory  - Needs Revision  - Not Acceptable

Comments:

Substance (detail, scope, etc)

- Strong  - Satisfactory  - Needs Revision  - Not Acceptable

Comments:

Summary comments on annotated bibliography:

Annotated Bibliography Recommendation:

- Meets the requirement for an annotated bibliography for the comprehensive examination
- Needs minor revisions to meet the requirement for an annotated bibliography for the comprehensive examination
- Needs major revisions to meet the requirement for an annotated bibliography for the comprehensive examination

Overall comments or suggestions on paper & annotated bibliography
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