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TITLE: Resolution Concerning Reaffirmation of the University Mission and Accreditation Core Themes.

COMMITTEE ACTION: Approved by the Academic and Student Affairs Committee (ASAC) June 4, 2014 and recommended to the full Board.

SUMMARY OF ITEM: The University is accredited by the Northwest Commission on Colleges and Universities (NWCCU). Effective July 1, 2014, the NWCCU has approved the change in control of the University from the State Board of Higher Education to the PSU Board of Trustees. NWCCU requires that an institution’s governing board approve the institutional mission and core themes. The University’s current mission was approved by the State Board of Higher Education in 1997. The University’s core themes were first reported to NWCCU in 2011 and retroactively approved by the State Board of Higher Education. The proposed resolution reaffirms the existing mission and core themes. The mission and core themes may be revisited and amended in the future, particularly in the context of strategic planning.

SUMMARY OF COMMITTEE DISCUSSION: Discussion by the ASAC included questions about the potential effect of upcoming strategic planning on the mission and accreditation core themes. It was acknowledged that a change to the mission or themes could emerge from the planning activities. If changes were made, they would require Portland State to file a substantive change with NWCCU. Reaffirmation is recommended to provide continuity for the institution’s accreditation cycle.

REQUESTED BOARD ACTION: Approve the Resolution.

ATTACHED DOCUMENTS: Resolution Concerning Reaffirmation of the University Mission and Accreditation Core Themes.

BACKGROUND READING:
1. PSU Accreditation by NWCCU.
2. Letter from NWCCU approving transfer of control to PSU Board of Trustees.
3. NWCCU Standards for Accreditation.
4. Mission and Core Themes.
5. Core Theme, Objective and Indicators.
6. Descriptions of OUS Policies and IMD's superseded by each of the Board of Trustees Resolutions.
7. PowerPoint slides from ASAC meeting.
RESOLUTION CONCERNING REAFFIRMATION OF
THE UNIVERSITY MISSION AND ACCREDITATION CORE THEMES

Approved by the Academic and Student Affairs Committee
June 4, 2014

BACKGROUND

A. The Board of Trustees assumes full governing authority for Portland State University, effective July 1, 2014. The Northwest Commission on Colleges and Universities (NWCUU), Portland State’s accrediting body, requires the institution’s governing body to approve the institutional Mission and Core Themes. Therefore, reaffirmation of Portland State’s Mission and Core Themes, as formerly approved by the Oregon State Board of Higher Education, is required of the new Board of Trustees prior to July 1, 2014.

B. In NWCCU’s Accreditation Handbook Standard One, institutions are required to articulate their mission statements and core themes and receive their governing board’s approval. As defined by NWCCU, the mission addresses institutional purpose and the core themes comprise essential elements of that mission. To remain in compliance with NWCCU, both the mission and core themes must be endorsed by an institution’s governing body.

C. Portland State’s Mission was approved by the Oregon State Board of Higher Education (OSBHE) in 1997; the core themes, first used in PSU’s 2011 Year One report to the NWCCU, were retroactively approved by the OSBHE, along with the core themes of all the OUS institutions.

Portland State’s 1997 approved Mission states:

The mission of Portland State University is to enhance the intellectual, social, cultural, and economic qualities of urban life by providing access throughout the life span to a quality liberal education for undergraduates and an appropriate array of professional and graduate programs especially relevant to metropolitan areas. The University conducts research and service that support a high quality educational environment and reflect issues important to the region. It actively promotes the development of a network of educational institutions to serve the community.

Portland State’s 2012 approved Core Themes are:

1. Community Engagement and Civic Leadership
2. Student Success
3. Innovative Research and Scholarship
4. Educational Opportunity

D. The Portland State administration recommends reaffirmation of the Mission and Core Themes for all purposes, including that of meeting the NWCCU Standard One. Portland State is in its 4th year of a seven-year reporting cycle with NWCCU. The Mission and Core Themes, including the articulation of objectives and indicators for each Core Theme, have provided the framework for reports to NWCCU in the last three years. Reaffirmation will
provide continuity for this accreditation cycle. We recognize that as the Board of Trustees and the University begin to engage in institutional planning discussions, changes to the Mission and/or the Core Themes may result in the future.

RESOLUTION

Now, therefore, be it Resolved by the Board of Trustees, that the institutional Mission and accreditation Core Themes are reaffirmed. This resolution supersedes the following OUS IMD: OUS IMD 2.010 Missions of System Institutions.

APPROVED:

______________________________
Secretary to the Board          Date
PSU Accreditation by NWCCU
March 21, 2014

Accreditation

Accreditation is a process of recognizing educational institutions for performance, integrity, and quality that entitles them to the confidence of the educational community and the public. Granting of Accreditation status is public recognition that an institution or program meets the accrediting agency’s established requirements. This recognition is extended largely through non-governmental, voluntary, institutional, or professional associations, which have responsibility for establishing criteria and evaluating institutions and programs with respect to those criteria.

NWCCU

The Northwest Commission on Colleges and Universities is incorporated in Washington state as a legally established, private 501(c)(3) non-profit corporation for the expressed purpose of accrediting higher education institutions in the seven-state Northwest region of Alaska, Idaho, Montana, Nevada, Oregon, Utah, and Washington.

Accreditation status granted by NWCCU is recognition that an institution’s own purpose is soundly conceived, that its educational programs have been intelligently devised, and that its structure, resources, and programs support and result in substantial accomplishment of the institution’s stated purposes. When granted or reaffirmed, Accreditation by the Northwest Commission on Colleges and Universities applies to the entire institution at the time of the most recent evaluation. It indicates that the institution as a whole has been evaluated and has been found to be substantially fulfilling its mission. Further, it indicates that the institution substantially meets the Commission’s expectations for compliance with the accreditation criteria.

Accreditation Cycle

NWCCU has a Seven Year Accreditation Cycle.
Year 1: Evaluation of Mission and Core Themes.
Year 3: Revised Year 1 and Evaluation of Educational Resources and Capacity.
Year 7: Revised Years 1 and 3 and Planning and Implementation; Effectiveness and Improvement; and Mission Fulfillment, Adaptation, and Sustainability.
May 27, 2014

Dr. Wim Wiewel  
President  
Portland State University  
P.O. Box 751  
Portland, OR 97207-0751

Dear President Wiewel:

I am writing in response to Mr. Robert Halstead’s correspondence dated February 27, 2014, requesting approval from the Northwest Commission on Colleges and Universities (NWCCU) for the University to establish an independent governing Board of Trustees of Portland State University. This newly established Board is to be entirely separate and autonomous from the Oregon State Board of Higher Education. This request is the result of Oregon’s Governor John Kitzhaber signing into law Senate Bill 270 on August 14, 2013. Although the bill was effective immediately, the majority of the changes are not operative until July 1, 2014. The Oregon State Board of Higher Education shall continue to have jurisdiction over the operations of the University through June 30, 2014.

Insofar as the mission and core themes of the University have not changed, the Commission has reviewed and approved the provisions for an independent governing Board of Trustees of Portland State University under the Commission’s Policy on Substantive Change. The independent governing Board of Trustees of Portland State University is now recognized as the governing body of PSU and is responsible for ensuring its own compliance with Standard 2.A Governance, in particular, and ensuring the fulfillment of the University’s mission and its overall sustainability (Standard Five).

Thank you for keeping the Northwest Commission on Colleges and Universities apprised of developments and initiatives at Portland State University. If you have questions, please do not hesitate to contact me.

We wish you and the Board of Trustees all the best as you work together to further enhance the quality and vitality of Portland State University.

Sincerely,

Sandra E. Elman  
President

SEE:rb

cc:   Mr. Peter Nickerson, Chair, PSU Board of Trustees  
      Mr. Robert Halstead, Accreditation Liaison Officer & Special Projects Coordinator  
      Dr. Pamela Goad, Vice President, NWCCU
Standards for Accreditation  
(revised 2010)

The five Standards for Accreditation are best understood within the context of the seven-year accreditation cycle. Although each is to be addressed during different stages of the cycle (Standard One in year one, Standard Two in year three, Standards Three and Four in year five, and Standard Five in year seven), the standards are interconnected and build upon each other in a recursive cycle of continuous improvement. For that reason, as an institution focuses on a given standard(s) for its Self-Evaluation Report, it does so in light of the standard(s) that have already been addressed, with the result that the information and analysis of previously addressed standards may be updated, expanded, and modified to produce a cohesive report.

Design and Function

The five Standards for Accreditation are statements that articulate the quality and effectiveness expected of Accredited institutions, and collectively they provide a framework for continuous improvement within institutions. The five standards also serve as indicators by which institutions are evaluated by peers. The standards are designed to guide institutions in a process of self-reflection that blends analysis and synthesis in a holistic examination of:

- The institution's mission and core themes;
- The translation of the mission's core themes into assessable objectives supported by programs and services;
- The appraisal of the institution's potential to fulfill the mission;
- The planning and implementation involved in achieving and assessing the desired outcomes of programs and services; and
- An evaluation of the results of the institution's efforts to fulfill its mission, assess its ability to monitor its environment, and adapt and sustain itself as a viable institution.

Structure

Each of the five Standards for Accreditation is designated by a number and title (e.g., Standard One - Mission, Core Themes, and Expectations), and is further defined by elements of the standard, which are designated by the number of the standard followed by the letter of the element (e.g., 1.A Mission). The criteria for evaluation more specifically define the elements and are identified by the number of the standard, followed by the letter of the standard element, followed by the number of the criterion (e.g., 1.A.1). Each standard is introduced by a narrative summary intended only to provide direction, not to be addressed as a criterion.

Standard One – Mission, Core Themes, and Expectations

The institution articulates its purpose in a mission statement, and identifies core themes that comprise essential elements of that mission. In an examination of its purpose, characteristics, and expectations, the institution defines the parameters for mission fulfillment. Guided by that definition, it identifies an acceptable threshold or extent of mission fulfillment.
1. A Mission

1.A.1 The institution has a widely published mission statement—approved by its governing board—that articulates a purpose appropriate for an institution of higher learning, gives direction for its efforts, and derives from, and is generally understood by, its community.

1.A.2 The institution defines mission fulfillment in the context of its purpose, characteristics, and expectations. Guided by that definition, it articulates institutional accomplishments or outcomes that represent an acceptable threshold or extent of mission fulfillment.

1.B Core Themes

1.B.1 The institution identifies core themes that individually manifest essential elements of its mission and collectively encompass its mission.

1.B.2 The institution establishes objectives for each of its core themes and identifies meaningful, assessable, and verifiable indicators of achievement that form the basis for evaluating accomplishment of the objectives of its core themes.

Standard Two – Resources and Capacity

By documenting the adequacy of its resources and capacity, the institution demonstrates the potential to fulfill its mission, accomplish its core theme objectives, and achieve the intended outcomes of its programs and services, wherever offered and however delivered. Through its governance and decision-making structures, the institution establishes, reviews regularly, and revises, as necessary, policies and procedures that promote effective management and operation of the institution.

2.A Governance

2.A.1 The institution demonstrates an effective and widely understood system of governance with clearly defined authority, roles, and responsibilities. Its decision-making structures and processes make provision for the consideration of the views of faculty, staff, administrators, and students on matters in which they have a direct and reasonable interest.

2.A.2 In a multi-unit governance system, the division of authority and responsibility between the system and the institution is clearly delineated. System policies, regulations, and procedures concerning the institution are clearly defined and equitably administered.

2.A.3 The institution monitors its compliance with the Commission’s Standards for Accreditation, including the impact of collective bargaining agreements, legislative actions, and external mandates.

Governing Board

2.A.4 The institution has a functioning governing board* consisting of at least five voting members, a majority of whom have no contractual, employment, or financial interest in the institution. If the institution is governed by a hierarchical structure of multiple boards, the roles, responsibilities, and authority of each board—as they relate to the institution—are clearly defined, widely communicated, and broadly understood.
2.A.5 The board acts only as a committee of the whole; no member or subcommittee of the board acts on behalf of the board except by formal delegation of authority by the governing board as a whole.

2.A.6 The board establishes, reviews regularly, revises as necessary, and exercises broad oversight of institutional policies, including those regarding its own organization and operation.

2.A.7 The board selects and evaluates regularly a chief executive officer who is accountable for the operation of the institution. It delegates authority and responsibility to the CEO to implement and administer board-approved policies related to the operation of the institution.

2.A.8 The board regularly evaluates its performance to ensure its duties and responsibilities are fulfilled in an effective and efficient manner.

**Leadership and Management**

2.A.9 The institution has an effective system of leadership, staffed by qualified administrators, with appropriate levels of responsibility and accountability, who are charged with planning, organizing, and managing the institution and assessing its achievements and effectiveness.

2.A.10 The institution employs an appropriately qualified chief executive officer with full-time responsibility to the institution. The chief executive officer may serve as an *ex officio* member of the governing board, but may not serve as its chair.

2.A.11 The institution employs a sufficient number of qualified administrators who provide effective leadership and management for the institution's major support and operational functions and work collaboratively across institutional functions and units to foster fulfillment of the institution's mission and accomplishment of its core theme objectives.

**Policies and Procedures**

**Academics**

2.A.12 Academic policies—including those related to teaching, service, scholarship, research, and artistic creation—are clearly communicated to students and faculty and to administrators and staff with responsibilities related to these areas.

2.A.13 Policies regarding access to and use of library and information resources—regardless of format, location, and delivery method—are documented, published, and enforced.

2.A.14 The institution develops, publishes widely, and follows an effective and clearly stated transfer-of-credit policy that maintains the integrity of its programs while facilitating efficient mobility of students between institutions in completing their educational programs.

**Students**

2.A.15 Policies and procedures regarding students' rights and responsibilities—including academic honesty, appeals, grievances, and accommodations for persons with disabilities—are clearly stated, readily available, and administered in a fair and consistent manner.
2.A.16 The institution adopts and adheres to admission and placement policies that guide the enrollment of students in courses and programs through an evaluation of prerequisite knowledge, skills, and abilities to assure a reasonable probability of student success at a level commensurate with the institution's expectations. Its policy regarding continuation in and termination from its educational programs—including its appeals process and readmission policy—are clearly defined, widely published, and administered in a fair and timely manner.

2.A.17 The institution maintains and publishes policies that clearly state its relationship to co-curricular activities and the roles and responsibilities of students and the institution for those activities, including student publications and other student media, if offered.

**Human Resources**

2.A.18 The institution maintains and publishes its human resources policies and procedures and regularly reviews them to ensure they are consistent, fair, and equitably applied to its employees and students.

2.A.19 Employees are apprised of their conditions of employment, work assignments, rights and responsibilities, and criteria and procedures for evaluation, retention, promotion, and termination.

2.A.20 The institution ensures the security and appropriate confidentiality of human resources records.

**Institutional Integrity**

2.A.21 The institution represents itself clearly, accurately, and consistently through its announcements, statements, and publications. It communicates its academic intentions, programs, and services to students and to the public and demonstrates that its academic programs can be completed in a timely fashion. It regularly reviews its publications to assure integrity in all representations about its mission, programs, and services.

2.A.22 The institution advocates, subscribes to, and exemplifies high ethical standards in managing and operating the institution, including its dealings with the public, the Commission, and external organizations, and in the fair and equitable treatment of students, faculty, administrators, staff, and other constituencies. It ensures complaints and grievances are addressed in a fair and timely manner.

2.A.23 The institution adheres to a clearly defined policy that prohibits conflict of interest on the part of members of the governing board, administration, faculty, and staff. Even when supported by or affiliated with social, political, corporate, or religious organizations, the institution has education as its primary purpose and operates as an academic institution with appropriate autonomy. If it requires its constituencies to conform to specific codes of conduct or seeks to instill specific beliefs or world views, it gives clear prior notice of such codes and/or policies in its publications.

2.A.24 The institution maintains clearly defined policies with respect to ownership, copyright, control, compensation, and revenue derived from the creation and production of intellectual property.
2.A.25 The institution accurately represents its current accreditation status and avoids speculation on future accreditation actions or status. It uses the terms “Accreditation” and “Candidacy” (and related terms) only when such status is conferred by an accrediting agency recognized by the U.S. Department of Education.

2.A.26 If the institution enters into contractual agreements with external entities for products or services performed on its behalf, the scope of work for those products or services—with clearly defined roles and responsibilities—is stipulated in a written and approved agreement that contains provisions to maintain the integrity of the institution. In such cases, the institution ensures the scope of the agreement is consistent with the mission and goals of the institution, adheres to institutional policies and procedures, and complies with the Commission’s Standards for Accreditation.

Academic Freedom
2.A.27 The institution publishes and adheres to policies, approved by its governing board, regarding academic freedom and responsibility that protect its constituencies from inappropriate internal and external influences, pressures, and harassment.

2.A.28 Within the context of its mission, core themes, and values, the institution defines and actively promotes an environment that supports independent thought in the pursuit and dissemination of knowledge. It affirms the freedom of faculty, staff, administrators, and students to share their scholarship and reasoned conclusions with others. While the institution and individuals within the institution may hold to a particular personal, social, or religious philosophy, its constituencies are intellectually free to examine thought, reason, and perspectives of truth. Moreover, they allow others the freedom to do the same.

2.A.29 Individuals with teaching responsibilities present scholarship fairly, accurately, and objectively. Derivative scholarship acknowledges the source of intellectual property, and personal views, beliefs, and opinions are identified as such.

Finance
2.A.30 The institution has clearly defined policies, approved by its governing board, regarding oversight and management of financial resources—including financial planning, board approval and monitoring of operating and capital budgets, reserves, investments, fundraising, cash management, debt management, and transfers and borrowings between funds.

2.B Human Resources

2.B.1 The institution employs a sufficient number of qualified personnel to maintain its support and operations functions. Criteria, qualifications, and procedures for selection of personnel are clearly and publicly stated. Job descriptions accurately reflect duties, responsibilities, and authority of the position.

2.B.2 Administrators and staff are evaluated regularly with regard to performance of work duties and responsibilities.

2.B.3 The institution provides faculty, staff, administrators, and other employees with appropriate opportunities and support for professional growth and development to enhance their effectiveness in fulfilling their roles, duties, and responsibilities.
2.B.4 Consistent with its mission, core themes, programs, services, and characteristics, the institution employs appropriately qualified faculty sufficient in number to achieve its educational objectives, establish and oversee academic policies, and assure the integrity and continuity of its academic programs, wherever offered and however delivered.

2.B.5 Faculty responsibilities and workloads are commensurate with the institution's expectations for teaching, service, scholarship, research, and/or artistic creation.

2.B.6 All faculty are evaluated in a regular, systematic, substantive, and collegial manner at least once within every five-year period of service. The evaluation process specifies the timeline and criteria by which faculty are evaluated; utilizes multiple indices of effectiveness, each of which is directly related to the faculty member's roles and responsibilities, including evidence of teaching effectiveness for faculty with teaching responsibilities; contains a provision to address concerns that may emerge between regularly scheduled evaluations; and provides for administrative access to all primary evaluation data. Where areas for improvement are identified, the institution works with the faculty member to develop and implement a plan to address identified areas of concern.

2.C Education Resources

2.C.1 The institution provides programs, wherever offered and however delivered, with appropriate content and rigor that are consistent with its mission; culminate in achievement of clearly identified student learning outcomes; and lead to collegiate-level degrees or certificates with designators consistent with program content in recognized fields of study.

2.C.2 The institution identifies and publishes expected course, program, and degree learning outcomes. Expected student learning outcomes for courses, wherever offered and however delivered, are provided in written form to enrolled students.

2.C.3 Credit and degrees, wherever offered and however delivered, are based on documented student achievement and awarded in a manner consistent with institutional policies that reflect generally accepted learning outcomes, norms, or equivalencies in higher education.

2.C.4 Degree programs, wherever offered and however delivered, demonstrate a coherent design with appropriate breadth, depth, sequencing of courses, and synthesis of learning. Admission and graduation requirements are clearly defined and widely published.

2.C.5 Faculty, through well-defined structures and processes with clearly defined authority and responsibilities, exercise a major role in the design, approval, implementation, and revision of the curriculum, and have an active role in the selection of new faculty. Faculty with teaching responsibilities take collective responsibility for fostering and assessing student achievement of clearly identified learning outcomes.

2.C.6 Faculty with teaching responsibilities, in partnership with library and information resources personnel, ensure that the use of library and information resources is integrated into the learning process.
2.C.7 Credit for prior experiential learning, if granted, is: a) guided by approved policies and procedures; b) awarded only at the undergraduate level to enrolled students; c) limited to a maximum of 25% of the credits needed for a degree; d) awarded only for documented student achievement equivalent to expected learning achievement for courses within the institution's regular curricular offerings; and e) granted only upon the recommendation of appropriately qualified teaching faculty. Credit granted for prior experiential learning is so identified on students' transcripts and may not duplicate other credit awarded to the student in fulfillment of degree requirements. The institution makes no assurances regarding the number of credits to be awarded prior to the completion of the institution's review process.

2.C.8 The final judgment in accepting transfer credit is the responsibility of the receiving institution. Transfer credit is accepted according to procedures which provide adequate safeguards to ensure high academic quality, relevance to the students' programs, and integrity of the receiving institution's degrees. In accepting transfer credit, the receiving institution ensures that the credit accepted is appropriate for its programs and comparable in nature, content, academic quality, and level to credit it offers. Where patterns of student enrollment between institutions are identified, the institution develops articulation agreements between the institutions.

Undergraduate Programs

2.C.9 The General Education component of undergraduate programs (if offered) demonstrates an integrated course of study that helps students develop the breadth and depth of intellect to become more effective learners and to prepare them for a productive life of work, citizenship, and personal fulfillment. Baccalaureate degree programs and transfer associate degree programs include a recognizable core of general education that represents an integration of basic knowledge and methodology of the humanities and fine arts, mathematical and natural sciences, and social sciences. Applied undergraduate degree and certificate programs of thirty (30) semester credits or forty-five (45) quarter credits in length contain a recognizable core of related instruction or general education with identified outcomes in the areas of communication, computation, and human relations that align with and support program goals or intended outcomes.

2.C.10 The institution demonstrates that the General Education components of its baccalaureate degree programs (if offered) and transfer associate degree programs (if offered) have identifiable and assessable learning outcomes that are stated in relation to the institution's mission and learning outcomes for those programs.

2.C.11 The related instruction components of applied degree and certificate programs (if offered) have identifiable and assessable learning outcomes that align with and support program goals or intended outcomes. Related instruction components may be embedded within program curricula or taught in blocks of specialized instruction, but each approach must have clearly identified content and be taught or monitored by teaching faculty who are appropriately qualified in those areas.
Graduate Programs

2.C.12 Graduate programs are consistent with the institution's mission; are in keeping with the expectations of their respective disciplines and professions; and are described through nomenclature that is appropriate to the levels of graduate and professional degrees offered. They differ from undergraduate programs by requiring greater depth of study and increased demands on student intellectual or creative capacities; knowledge of the literature of the field; and ongoing student engagement in research, scholarship, creative expression, and/or appropriate high-level professional practice.

2.C.13 Graduate admission and retention policies ensure that student qualifications and expectations are compatible with the institution's mission and the program's requirements. Transfer of credit is evaluated according to clearly defined policies by faculty with a major commitment to graduate education or by a representative body of faculty responsible for the degree program at the receiving institution.

2.C.14 Graduate credit may be granted for internships, field experiences, and clinical practices that are an integral part of the graduate degree program. Credit toward graduate degrees may not be granted for experiential learning that occurred prior to matriculation into the graduate degree program. Unless the institution structures the graduate learning experience, monitors that learning, and assesses learning achievements, graduate credit is not granted for learning experiences external to the students' formal graduate programs.

2.C.15 Graduate programs intended to prepare students for research, professional practice, scholarship, or artistic creation are characterized by a high level of expertise, originality, and critical analysis. Programs intended to prepare students for artistic creation are directed toward developing personal expressions of original concepts, interpretations, imagination, thoughts, or feelings. Graduate programs intended to prepare students for research or scholarship are directed toward advancing the frontiers of knowledge by constructing and/or revising theories and creating or applying knowledge. Graduate programs intended to prepare students for professional practice are directed toward developing high levels of knowledge and performance skills directly related to effective practice within the profession.

Continuing Education and Non-Credit Programs

2.C.16 Credit and non-credit continuing education programs and other special programs are compatible with the institution's mission and goals.

2.C.17 The institution maintains direct and sole responsibility for the academic quality of all aspects of its continuing education and special learning programs and courses. Continuing education and/or special learning activities, programs, or courses offered for academic credit are approved by the appropriate institutional body, monitored through established procedures with clearly defined roles and responsibilities, and assessed with regard to student achievement. Faculty representing the disciplines and fields of work are appropriately involved in the planning and evaluation of the institution's continuing education and special learning activities.
2.C.18 The granting of credit or Continuing Education Units (CEUs) for continuing education courses and special learning activities is: a) guided by generally accepted norms; b) based on institutional mission and policy; c) consistent across the institution, wherever offered and however delivered; d) appropriate to the objectives of the course; and e) determined by student achievement of identified learning outcomes.

2.C.19 The institution maintains records which describe the number of courses and nature of learning provided through non-credit instruction.

2.D Student Support Resources

2.D.1 Consistent with the nature of its educational programs and methods of delivery, the institution creates effective learning environments with appropriate programs and services to support student learning needs.

2.D.2 The institution makes adequate provision for the safety and security of its students and their property at all locations where it offers programs and services. Crime statistics, campus security policies, and other disclosures required under federal and state regulations are made available in accordance with those regulations.

2.D.3 Consistent with its mission, core themes, and characteristics, the institution recruits and admits students with the potential to benefit from its educational offerings. It orients students to ensure they understand the requirements related to their programs of study and receive timely, useful, and accurate information and advising about relevant academic requirements, including graduation and transfer policies.

2.D.4 In the event of program elimination or significant change in requirements, the institution makes appropriate arrangements to ensure that students enrolled in the program have an opportunity to complete their program in a timely manner with a minimum of disruption.

2.D.5 The institution publishes in a catalog, or provides in a manner reasonably available to students and other stakeholders, current and accurate information that includes:
   a) Institutional mission and core themes;
   b) Entrance requirements and procedures;
   c) Grading policy;
   d) Information on academic programs and courses, including degree and program completion requirements, expected learning outcomes, required course sequences, and projected timelines to completion based on normal student progress and the frequency of course offerings;
   e) Names, titles, degrees held, and conferring institutions for administrators and full-time faculty;
   f) Rules, regulations for conduct, rights, and responsibilities;
   g) Tuition, fees, and other program costs;
   h) Refund policies and procedures for students who withdraw from enrollment;
   i) Opportunities and requirements for financial aid; and
   j) Academic calendar.
2.D.6 Publications describing educational programs include accurate information on:
   a) National and/or state legal eligibility requirements for licensure or entry into an
      occupation or profession for which education and training are offered;
   b) Descriptions of unique requirements for employment and advancement in the
      occupation or profession.

2.D.7 The institution adopts and adheres to policies and procedures regarding the secure
   retention of student records, including provision for reliable and retrievable backup of
   those records, regardless of their form. The institution publishes and follows established
   policies for confidentiality and release of student records.

2.D.8 The institution provides an effective and accountable program of financial aid consistent
   with its mission, student needs, and institutional resources. Information regarding the
   categories of financial assistance (such as scholarships, grants, and loans) is published and
   made available to prospective and enrolled students.

2.D.9 Students receiving financial assistance are informed of any repayment obligations. The
   institution regularly monitors its student loan programs and the institution’s loan default
   rate.

2.D.10 The institution designs, maintains, and evaluates a systematic and effective program of
   academic advisement to support student development and success. Personnel responsible
   for advising students are knowledgeable of the curriculum, program requirements, and
   graduation requirements and are adequately prepared to successfully fulfill their
   responsibilities. Advising requirements and responsibilities are defined, published, and
   made available to students.

2.D.11 Co-curricular activities are consistent with the institution’s mission, core themes, programs,
   and services and are governed appropriately.

2.D.12 If the institution operates auxiliary services (such as student housing, food service, and
   bookstore), they support the institution’s mission, contribute to the intellectual climate of
   the campus community, and enhance the quality of the learning environment. Students,
   faculty, staff, and administrators have opportunities for input regarding these services.

2.D.13 Intercollegiate athletic and other co-curricular programs (if offered) and related financial
   operations are consistent with the institution’s mission and conducted with appropriate
   institutional oversight. Admission requirements and procedures, academic standards,
   degree requirements, and financial aid awards for students participating in co-curricular
   programs are consistent with those for other students.

2.D.14 The institution maintains an effective identity verification process for students enrolled in
   distance education courses and programs to establish that the student enrolled in the
   distance education course or program is the same person whose achievements are
   evaluated and credentialed. The institution ensures the identity verification process for
   distance education students protects student privacy and that students are informed, in
   writing at the time of enrollment, of current and projected charges associated with the
   identity verification process.
2.E Library and Information Resources

2.E.1 Consistent with its mission and core themes, the institution holds or provides access to library and information resources with an appropriate level of currency, depth, and breadth to support the institution’s mission, core themes, programs, and services, wherever offered and however delivered.

2.E.2 Planning for library and information resources is guided by data that include feedback from affected users and appropriate library and information resources faculty, staff, and administrators.

2.E.3 Consistent with its mission and core themes, the institution provides appropriate instruction and support for students, faculty, staff, administrators, and others (as appropriate) to enhance their efficiency and effectiveness in obtaining, evaluating, and using library and information resources that support its programs and services, wherever offered and however delivered.

2.E.4 The institution regularly and systematically evaluates the quality, adequacy, utilization, and security of library and information resources and services, including those provided through cooperative arrangements, wherever offered and however delivered.

2.F Financial Resources

2.F.1 The institution demonstrates financial stability with sufficient cash flow and reserves to support its programs and services. Financial planning reflects available funds, realistic development of financial resources, and appropriate risk management to ensure short-term solvency and anticipate long-term obligations, including payment of future liabilities.

2.F.2 Resource planning and development include realistic budgeting, enrollment management, and responsible projections of grants, donations, and other non-tuition revenue sources.

2.F.3 The institution clearly defines and follows its policies, guidelines, and processes for financial planning and budget development that include appropriate opportunities for participation by its constituencies.

2.F.4 The institution ensures timely and accurate financial information through its use of an appropriate accounting system that follows generally accepted accounting principles and through its reliance on an effective system of internal controls.

2.F.5 Capital budgets reflect the institution’s mission and core theme objectives and relate to its plans for physical facilities and acquisition of equipment. Long-range capital plans support the institution’s mission and goals and reflect projections of the total cost of ownership, equipment, furnishing, and operation of new or renovated facilities. Debt for capital outlay purposes is periodically reviewed, carefully controlled, and justified, so as not to create an unreasonable drain on resources available for educational purposes.

2.F.6 The institution defines the financial relationship between its general operations and its auxiliary enterprises, including any use of general operations funds to support auxiliary enterprises or the use of funds from auxiliary services to support general operations.
2.F.7 For each year of operation, the institution undergoes an external financial audit, in a reasonable timeframe, by professionally qualified personnel in accordance with generally accepted auditing standards. Results from the audit, including findings and management letter recommendations, are considered in a timely, appropriate, and comprehensive manner by the administration and the governing board.

2.F.8 All institutional fundraising activities are conducted in a professional and ethical manner and comply with governmental requirements. If the institution has a relationship with a fundraising organization that bears its name and whose major purpose is to raise funds to support its mission, the institution has a written agreement that clearly defines its relationship with that organization.

2.G Physical and Technological Infrastructure

Physical Infrastructure

2.G.1 Consistent with its mission, core themes, and characteristics, the institution creates and maintains physical facilities that are accessible, safe, secure, and sufficient in quantity and quality to ensure healthful learning and working environments that support the institution’s mission, programs, and services.

2.G.2 The institution adopts, publishes, reviews regularly, and adheres to policies and procedures regarding the safe use, storage, and disposal of hazardous or toxic materials.

2.G.3 The institution develops, implements, and reviews regularly a master plan for its physical development that is consistent with its mission, core themes, and long-range educational and financial plans.

2.G.4 Equipment is sufficient in quantity and quality and managed appropriately to support institutional functions and fulfillment of the institution’s mission, accomplishment of core theme objectives, and achievement of goals or intended outcomes of its programs and services.

Technological Infrastructure

2.G.5 Consistent with its mission, core themes, and characteristics, the institution has appropriate and adequate technology systems and infrastructure to support its management and operational functions, academic programs, and support services, wherever offered and however delivered.

2.G.6 The institution provides appropriate instruction and support for faculty, staff, students, and administrators in the effective use of technology and technology systems related to its programs, services, and institutional operations.

2.G.7 Technological infrastructure planning provides opportunities for input from its technology support staff and constituencies who rely on technology for institutional operations, programs, and services.
2.G.8 The institution develops, implements, and reviews regularly a technology update and replacement plan to ensure its technological infrastructure is adequate to support its operations, programs, and services.

Standard Three - Planning and Implementation

The institution engages in ongoing, participatory planning that provides direction for the institution and leads to the achievement of the intended outcomes of its programs and services, accomplishment of its core themes, and fulfillment of its mission. The resulting plans reflect the interdependent nature of the institution's operations, functions, and resources. The institution demonstrates that the plans are implemented and are evident in the relevant activities of its programs and services, the adequacy of its resource allocation, and the effective application of institutional capacity. In addition, the institution demonstrates that its planning and implementation processes are sufficiently flexible so that the institution is able to address unexpected circumstances that have the potential to impact the institution's ability to accomplish its core theme objectives and to fulfill its mission.

3.A Institutional Planning

3.A.1 The institution engages in ongoing, purposeful, systematic, integrated, and comprehensive planning that leads to fulfillment of its mission. Its plans are implemented and made available to appropriate constituencies.

3.A.2 The institution's comprehensive planning process is broad-based and offers opportunities for input by appropriate constituencies.

3.A.3 The institution's comprehensive planning process is informed by the collection of appropriately defined data that are analyzed and used to evaluate fulfillment of its mission.

3.A.4 The institution's comprehensive plan articulates priorities and guides decisions on resource allocation and application of institutional capacity.

3.A.5 The institution's planning includes emergency preparedness and contingency planning for continuity and recovery of operations should catastrophic events significantly interrupt normal institutional operations.

3.B Core Theme Planning

3.B.1 Planning for each core theme is consistent with the institution's comprehensive plan and guides the selection of programs and services to ensure they are aligned with and contribute to accomplishment of the core theme's objectives.

3.B.2 Planning for core theme programs and services guides the selection of contributing components of those programs and services to ensure they are aligned with and contribute to achievement of the goals or intended outcomes of the respective programs and services.
3.B.3 Core theme planning is informed by the collection of appropriately defined data that are analyzed and used to evaluate accomplishment of core theme objectives. Planning for programs and services is informed by the collection of appropriately defined data that are used to evaluate achievement of the goals or intended outcomes of those programs and services.

Standard Four – Effectiveness and Improvement

The institution regularly and systematically collects data related to clearly defined indicators of achievement, analyzes those data, and formulates evidence-based evaluations of the achievement of core theme objectives. It demonstrates clearly defined procedures for evaluating the integration and significance of institutional planning, the allocation of resources, and the application of capacity in its activities for achieving the intended outcomes of its programs and services and for achieving its core theme objectives. The institution disseminates assessment results to its constituencies and uses those results to effect improvement.

4.A Assessment

4.A.1 The institution engages in ongoing systematic collection and analysis of meaningful, assessable, and verifiable data—quantitative and/or qualitative, as appropriate to its indicators of achievement—as the basis for evaluating the accomplishment of its core theme objectives.

4.A.2 The institution engages in an effective system of evaluation of its programs and services, wherever offered and however delivered, to evaluate achievement of clearly identified program goals or intended outcomes. Faculty have a primary role in the evaluation of educational programs and services.

4.A.3 The institution documents, through an effective, regular, and comprehensive system of assessment of student achievement, that students who complete its educational courses, programs, and degrees, wherever offered and however delivered, achieve identified course, program, and degree learning outcomes. Faculty with teaching responsibilities are responsible for evaluating student achievement of clearly identified learning outcomes.

4.A.4 The institution evaluates holistically the alignment, correlation, and integration of programs and services with respect to accomplishment of core theme objectives.

4.A.5 The institution evaluates holistically the alignment, correlation, and integration of planning, resources, capacity, practices, and assessment with respect to achievement of the goals or intended outcomes of its programs or services, wherever offered and however delivered.

4.A.6 The institution regularly reviews its assessment processes to ensure they appraise authentic achievements and yield meaningful results that lead to improvement.

4.B Improvement

4.B.1 Results of core theme assessments and results of assessments of programs and services are: a) based on meaningful institutionally identified indicators of achievement; b) used for improvement by informing planning, decision making, and allocation of resources and capacity; and c) made available to appropriate constituencies in a timely manner.
4.B.2 The institution uses the results of its assessment of student learning to inform academic and learning-support planning and practices that lead to enhancement of student learning achievements. Results of student learning assessments are made available to appropriate constituencies in a timely manner.

Standard Five – Mission Fulfillment, Adaptation, and Sustainability

Based on its definition of mission fulfillment and informed by the results of its analysis of accomplishment of its core theme objectives, the institution develops and publishes evidence-based evaluations regarding the extent to which it is fulfilling its mission. The institution regularly monitors its internal and external environments to determine how and to what degree changing circumstances may impact its mission and its ability to fulfill that mission. It demonstrates that it is capable of adapting, when necessary, its mission, core themes, programs, and services to accommodate changing and emerging needs, trends, and influences to ensure enduring institutional relevancy, productivity, viability, and sustainability.

5.A Mission Fulfillment

5.A.1 The institution engages in regular, systematic, participatory, self-reflective, and evidence-based assessment of its accomplishments.

5.A.2 Based on its definition of mission fulfillment, the institution uses assessment results to make determinations of quality, effectiveness, and mission fulfillment and communicates its conclusions to appropriate constituencies and the public.

5.B Adaptation and Sustainability

5.B.1 Within the context of its mission and characteristics, the institution evaluates regularly the adequacy of its resources, capacity, and effectiveness of operations to document its ongoing potential to fulfill its mission, accomplish its core theme objectives, and achieve the goals or intended outcomes of its programs and services, wherever offered and however delivered.

5.B.2 The institution documents and evaluates regularly its cycle of planning, practices, resource allocation, application of institutional capacity, and assessment of results to ensure their adequacy, alignment, and effectiveness. It uses the results of its evaluation to make changes, as necessary, for improvement.

5.B.3 The institution monitors its internal and external environments to identify current and emerging patterns, trends, and expectations. Through its governance system it uses those findings to assess its strategic position, define its future direction, and review and revise, as necessary, its mission, core themes, core theme objectives, goals or intended outcomes of its programs and services, and indicators of achievement.
Mission and Core Themes
March 21, 2014

Excerpted from the Year One Self-Evaluation Report, September 15, 2011.

Portland State's mission is to enhance the intellectual, social, cultural and economic qualities of urban life and provide community service that reflect(s) issues important to the region.

Portland State values intellectual inquiry in its undergraduate and graduate programs and maintains a welcoming and stimulating environment that is conducive to success for students...

---

Portland State University – Full Mission Statement

"The mission of Portland State University is to enhance the intellectual, social, cultural and economic qualities of urban life by providing access throughout the life span to a quality liberal education for undergraduates and an appropriate array of professional and graduate programs especially relevant to metropolitan areas. The University conducts research and community service that support a high quality educational environment and reflect issues important to the region. It actively promotes the development of a network of educational institutions to serve the community."
## Core Theme 1: Community Engagement and Civic Leadership

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Objective 1.1:</th>
<th>Objective 1.2:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Provide an undergraduate student experience that connects and engages students with the community. (Portland State University offers an array of Community-Based learning opportunities for students; the Capstone was selected because it is interdisciplinary in design and is a requirement for graduation.)</td>
<td>Provide leadership in areas vital to the urban and metropolitan area and contribute to social and economic vitality. (Portland State University is actively connected with the community through strategic partnerships and other economic relationships and provides a strong leadership role in addressing issues important to the city and the region.)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Indicators

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>1.1.1</th>
<th>Capstone courses offered annually are sufficient to meet student demand</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.1.2</td>
<td>Number of students enrolled in Capstone courses</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.1.3</td>
<td>Students will leave Portland State University prepared to make a difference in the community</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.1.4</td>
<td>Community engagement helped the student better understand the course content in the Capstone course</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Mission Fulfillment - Acceptable Threshold

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>1.1.1</th>
<th>234 Capstone courses offered annually</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.1.2</td>
<td>3820 students enrolled in Capstone courses</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.1.3</td>
<td>78% of Capstone students will agree or strongly agree that they have a better understanding of how to make a difference in the community in an end of course survey</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Indicators

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>1.2.1</th>
<th>Portland State University’s economic impact on the region in dollars¹</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.2.2</td>
<td>Service hours completed¹</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.2.3</td>
<td>Number of strategic partnerships</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.2.4</td>
<td>Contribution to the cultural richness of the region</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Mission Fulfillment - Acceptable Threshold

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>1.2.1</th>
<th>Portland State’s value of economic impact in dollars (To be established with a more accurate formula with one year of data)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.2.2</td>
<td>Hours of service to the community (To be established with a more accurate formula with one year of data)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.2.3</td>
<td>5 institutional strategic partnerships by 2020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.2.4</td>
<td>Portland State University offers an array of public events for the Portland Metropolitan area where attendance will exceed 71,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

¹ Information found at Portland State Profile
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>1.1.4</th>
<th>84% of Capstone students will agree or strongly agree that the community work helped them to better understand the course content of the Capstone course in an end of course survey</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Institutional Responsibility:</strong></td>
<td><strong>Institutional Responsibility:</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Office of Academic Affairs</td>
<td>Office of Academic Affairs Office of Research and Strategic Partnerships</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Core Theme 2: Student Success

#### Objective 2.1:

Provide quality educational programs and evidence of the student learning experience

*(Portland State is committed to ongoing internal and external review of its academic programs including the assessment of student learning outcomes to ensure high quality academic programs are available to its students.)*

#### Indicators:

2.1.1 Programs with Specialized Accreditation

2.1.2 Programs, scheduled each year, have undergone academic program review with a comprehensive program assessment

#### Objective 2.2:

Improve retention and completion rates

*(Portland State’s growing commitment to student success includes two important dimensions demonstrated through student retention and degree completion efforts.)*

#### Indicators:

2.2.1 Retention rate of first-time, full-time freshman

2.2.2 Graduation rate (within Oregon Public Universities) of first-time, full-time freshmen within six years (this indicator will change with the transition of OUS)

2.2.3 Retention of Oregon Community College transfer students

2.2.4 Bachelor degrees awarded to transfer students from Oregon Community Colleges

2.2.5 Percentage of first-time, full-time freshman who have graduated either from reporting institution or a subsequent institution *(VSA/SAM measure)*

---

2 Achievement compact measure
3 Portland State University recently agreed to participate in the VSA and SAM and thresholds for this indicator will be monitored by Office of Institutional Research and Planning
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Core Theme 3: Innovative Research and Scholarship</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Objective 3.1:</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Increase interdisciplinary funded research activity that reflects the University’s urban character and addresses the needs of urban communities. <em>(As a Carnegie High Research institution, Portland State promotes and supports research and scholarship that involves students and faculty in knowledge creation that addresses the needs of urban communities, locally and globally.)</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Indicators:</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.1.1 Total expenditures for sponsored project activities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.1.2 Number of awards received annually</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
3.1.3 Number of faculty with externally funded projects
3.1.4 Number of awards with multiple investigators and involving multiple departments and colleges
3.1.5 Number of sponsored projects funded by industry

**Mission Fulfillment - Acceptable Threshold**

- **3.1.1** Total sponsored project expenditures will be $55 million a year
- **3.1.2** 253 awards will be funded each year
- **3.1.3** Number of faculty with externally funded projects will be 297 each year
- **3.1.4** Number of awards with multiple investigators and involving multiple departments or colleges will be 28 a year
- **3.1.5** Number of sponsored projects funded by industry will at least be 29 a year

**Institutional Responsibility:**

Office of Research and Strategic Partnerships

**Mission Fulfillment - Acceptable Threshold**

- **3.2.1** Number of graduate research assistantships on sponsored projects will be 255 each year
- **3.2.2** Number of doctoral degrees awarded will be 68 a year
- **3.2.3** 95% of undergraduates enrolled in the Ronald E. McNair Scholars Program completed research project

**Institutional Responsibility:**

Office of Research and Strategic Partnerships

---

**Core Theme 4: Educational Opportunity: Providing Access to Programs**

**Objective 4a.1:**

Provide access to a wide range of programs, offered through traditional and non-traditional means that support Portland State University’s mission and meet the needs of a diverse student population

*(Part of Portland State University’s commitment to opportunity is to provide students with a range of* 

**Objective 4a.2:**

Partner with other educational institutions to create a seamless and more effective system to support opportunities for students from preschool to graduate school

*(Portland State University emphasizes improving college readiness and community college pathways for students to attend university. Partnerships have helped to engage different* 

---

* Ronald E. McNair alumni productivity page
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>choices in their educational careers, as well as flexibility in scheduling and mode of delivery</th>
<th>communities and to enable students to envision themselves as learners with the expectation that they will attend university</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Indicators:</strong></td>
<td><strong>Indicators:</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4a.1.1 Number of students enrolled in online courses</td>
<td>4a.2.1 Enrollment of transfer students from community colleges</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4a.1.2 Number of credit sections offered in an online format</td>
<td>4a.2.2 Newly admitted Oregon Freshman entering with high school dual or other early college credit&lt;sup&gt;2&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4a.1.3 Number of professional degrees</td>
<td>4a.2.3 Program specific Articulation Agreements&lt;sup&gt;6&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4a.1.4 Number of credits earned by Credit for Prior Learning (CPL)&lt;sup&gt;5&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Mission Fulfillment -Acceptable Threshold</strong></th>
<th><strong>Mission Fulfillment -Acceptable Threshold</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4a.1.1 6,000 students enrolled in online courses</td>
<td>4a.2.1 Provide opportunities for 1,739 transfer students from community colleges</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4a.1.2 1,475 credit sections in an online format offered</td>
<td>4a.2.2 Number of newly admitted Oregon Freshman entering with high school dual or other early college credit will meet OEIB target&lt;sup&gt;2&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4a.1.3 15 professional degrees offered</td>
<td>4a.2.3 Number of Articulation Agreements will reach 25 by 2020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4a.1.4 Number of Credit for Prior Learning earned meets HECC’s target</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Institutional Responsibility:</strong></th>
<th><strong>Institutional Responsibility:</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Office of Academic Affairs</td>
<td>Office of Academic Affairs</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

### Core Theme 4: Educational Opportunity: Opportunities for Diverse Populations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Objective 4b.1:</th>
<th>Objective 4b.2:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Increase efforts to enroll a diverse student body and provide support services for students from historically under-represented groups</td>
<td>Provide international learning opportunities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>(As the most diverse university in Oregon, Portland State University provides opportunities for students from all backgrounds)</em></td>
<td><em>(Portland State University is committed to providing international experiences for all students, as well as hosting experiences for students from abroad whose presence on campus and in the classroom enhances the experience of domestic students)</em></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indicators:</th>
<th>Indicators:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4b.1.1 Enrollment of diverse (appendix 2,</td>
<td>4b.2.1 Number of students successfully completing study abroad offerings (including short-term</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>5</sup> This indicator is in development with threshold levels to be established  
<sup>6</sup> This indicator is still in the developmental stages and will change to the number of students successfully graduating under articulation agreements
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mission Fulfillment -Acceptable Threshold</th>
<th>Mission Fulfillment -Acceptable Threshold</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4b.1.1 Enrollment of diverse students as a percentage of total enrollment is 23%</td>
<td>4b.2.1 Number of students successfully completing study abroad offerings is 500 a year</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4b.1.2 Number of bachelor’s degrees awarded to Pell Eligible Oregonians meets OEIB achievement compact target</td>
<td>4b.2.2 Increase international student enrollment by 100 students a year</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4b.1.3 479 students served by support services designed for under-represented groups.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4b.1.4 Graduation rates of students served by TRiO program will be equal to institutional graduation rate</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Institutional Responsibility:**

Office of Global Diversity and Inclusion
Enrollment Management and Student Affairs

**Institutional Responsibility:**

Office of International Affairs
Resolution: Reaffirmation of the University Mission and Accreditation Core Themes

1. **OUS IMD 2.010 Missions of System Institutions**

This OUS IMD (Internal Management Directive) states that institutions shall provide: (a) instruction, (b) research, and (c) public service.

The proposed resolution will give that authority to the PSU Board through the approval of the institutional mission.
RESOLUTION REAFFIRMATION OF UNIVERSITY’S MISSION AND CORE THEMES

Why an A&AS Discussion/Action Item?

- Our accrediting body (NWCCU) requires a board approved mission and “core themes”
- Currently in compliance given OSBHE approved PSU’s mission and core themes
- Recommended action item for committee at June meeting and for full Board in June to reaffirm mission and NWCCU core themes

NWCCU 7 Year Accreditation Cycle.

- **Year 1**: Evaluation of Mission and Core Themes
- **Year 3**: Revised Year 1 and Evaluation of Educational Resources and Capacity
- **Year 7**: Revised Years 1 and 3 and Planning and Implementation; Effectiveness and Improvement; and Mission Fulfillment, Adaptation, and Sustainability
The mission of Portland State University is to enhance the intellectual, social, cultural, and economic qualities of urban life by providing access throughout the life span to a quality liberal education for undergraduates and an appropriate array of professional and graduate programs especially relevant to metropolitan areas. The University conducts research and service that support a high quality educational environment and reflect issues important to the region. It actively promotes the development of a network of educational institutions to serve the community.