





The autonomy findings show that, with appropriate spatial planning, daylight could provide a significant portion of interior light based on program needs

GLARE:



SAWTOOTH: 80











OVERVIEW

With the 2050 Energy Challenge in mind, many institutions are embracing strict policies on energy consumption in order to be at the vangaurd of sustainable practice. The California State Educational System is one such organization, specifying a 25% below code requirements for University buildings. This project specifically involves a retrofit of Cruess Hall, a former shop and storage space on the campus of UC Davis.

Our first task was establishing a baseline from which we can understand the conditions of existing light quality. The suggestions can be made to improve daylighting based on DIVA analysis. One such design option is presented here in contrast to the existing condition both as an informant of design but also of new methods for achieving greater efficiency and user comfort.

METRICS

Daylight Autonomy: a percentage of annual daytime hours that a given point in a space is above a specified illumina tion level (300 lux) while occupied.

Illuminance: the amount of light energy reaching a given point on a defined sur face area, usefull measures of illumi nace are determined by ASHRAE stan dards according to specific tasks that will be done in a given space. (measured in Footcandles and Lux)

Glare: Physical discomfort caused by contrast in light conditions or luminous intensity. Glare is measured from specifproject is in design development stage, ic points of view as a ratio of light levels so after a baseline is established design in a given cone of vision. Glare can be difficult to model accurately, but is important in understanding comfort and useability of interior spaces

> Lux: Unit of measurement of incident light equivalent to .1 footcandle

PRIMARY:

ex. and new. shading devices.

SECONDARY:

lative DIVA analysis. nology



INSIGHTS

- Different daylight metrics should be used on each project to inform specific design decisions; Daylight Autonomy shows areas where artificial light will be needed most often while Illuminance shows the need for shading at specific sun angles and the potential for nuisance glare conditions.

- A practiced workflow makes analysis efficient and additive to the iterative process while a poor workflow will lead to variation and anomolous findings.



ILLUMINANCE:

artificial lighting.

GLARE:

Any initial glare concerns were further winnowed through the division of the High-Bay space into North-South programmed rooms which are flooded by daylight. Circulation spaces show varying light intensity and are the areas of greatest potential glare under this iteration. Finding the right glare metric may provide greater insight as to actual user experience of these spaces once further-modeled.

OPTION B DAYLIGHT AUTONOMY AND GLARE

BASELINE DAYLIGHT AUTONOMY AND GLARE

FINDINGS

ILLUMINANCE:

We found that the Large High-Bay space benefits from a balance of North and Sout glazing which limits high-glare conditions. Some areas could benefit from added fenestration while others will clearly require shading devices to maximize user comfort, key to keeping lighting loads to a minimum post-occupancy.

DAYLIGHT AUTONOMY:

Our glare findings were confusing at first, but the reality is that this space has an existing design which limits glare conditions. Additional acccuracy would result from a model populated with work surfaces and materials not considered in the scope of this investigation.



PORTLAND STATE UNIVERSITY - PROFESSOR COREY GRIFFIN

OBJECTIVES

-Establish existing condition Daylight Autonomy during occupied hours.

- -Develop a results matrix showing moment-in-time illuminance and glare for
- -Use data to inform artificial lighting strategies with new fenestration and
- -Achieve UC Davis' stringent energy requirements in modeling.

- -Combine Daylighting and Thermal Envelope findings to produce a cumu-
- -Produce an updated 'best-case' workflow for DIVA daylight analyses.
- -Develop appendix of relevant termi-

ARCHITECTS

UC DAVIS - CRUESS HALL RENOVATION

DIVA DAYLIGHT ANALYSIS

JESSE FIGGINS CIAN BOUMA TUCKER JONES ZACH BAUGHER

FINDINGS

Illuminance conditions were improved through the addition of North-South partitions in the High-Bay space while the new lobby is flooded with natural light via the doorway which would pierce its East facade. An added curtain wall vestibule may complicate thermal loading but would require very little

DAYLIGHT AUTONOMY:

Autonomy in Option B appears to embrace the proposed program of these spaces. The Sawtooth portion, now divided into a small lecture hall (West) and lobby (East) shows a desired daylight response to the changes. The new South lecture hall should be studied further but any desired lighting condition can be achieved on this new construction.



