

UPSOC Attending:

Marcy Hunt- Co-chair  
Michael Alexander- Co-chair  
Clint Culpepper  
Keith Kaufman  
Thomas Luckett  
Lisa Hawash  
Jay Auslander  
Andres Guzman

Shirley Jackson  
Luis Baldera-Villagrana  
Willie Halliburton  
Dana Walton-Macaulay  
Constantin Severe  
Jill Townley  
Anastasia Hale

UPSOC Staff:

Cathy Kirchner  
Mark Wubbold

Guests:

Kevin Reynolds  
Cindy Starke  
Donnell Tanksley

\*\*\*\*\*

**Campus Public Safety Committee (UPSOC) – September 21, 2018**

**Convened:** 2:05 pm

**Topic:** Welcome to new members attending and guests

**Discussion:** With the addition of Lisa Hawash, Jay Auslander, Andres Guzman, Jill Townley, Constantin Severe and Anastasia Hale, UPSOC is now at full strength for the 2018-19 academic year.

**Topic:** Review of the 2018 Charge to the Committee

**Discussion:** VP FADM, Kevin Reynolds presented this year’s charge to the committee, informing new UPSOC members of its essential elements. This year’s charge is posted to the UPSOC website.

**Topic:** Review of meeting notes

**Discussion:** UPSOC members reviewed and unanimously approved the June 15 and August 28 meeting notes as written.

**Action:** Staff to post the meeting notes to the UPSOC website.

**Topic:** CPSO Chief’s report

**Discussion:** Chief Tanksley provided a brief update on the recent activities of the department. There was brief discussion on the biannual reports required by the Board of Trustees. Although these reports focus mainly on CPSO activities, a summary of UPSOC activities is included in the Board reports as well. Chief

Tanksley hopes to have a draft report ready for UPSOC review by the end of October. The next Board report will be presented at the January meeting.

The Chief's report will continue to be a standing item on UPSOC agendas, although they are expected to evolve becoming less of a progress report, and more of a report on issues UPSOC can help with.

**Topic:** Proposed scope and timeline for CPSO policy and procedure, and incident review.

**Discussion:** Margolis Healy was selected to do the "top to bottom" review of CPSC policies and procedures, while OIR will review the officer involved shooting incident. Both firms were chosen after an extensive national search.

General Counsel Cindy Starke shared Margolis Healy's draft scope of work and updated the committee on the review process, as it now stands. The current plan is to have Margolis on campus for two weeks beginning the end of October. During this time they will hold open campus forums. These forums engendered many questions from UPSOC members, including:

- Will UPSOC members be able to advise on the constituent lists for the forums?
- Will UPSOC be able to respond to a ¾ draft of the Margolis report, so their feedback is formative?
- Will Margolis share the raw data they gather before it is included in first draft?
- When will Margolis meet with the Faculty Senate? Because the Senate only meets monthly, they will likely have to meet with Faculty Steering Committee, instead of the full committee during the two weeks they are on campus.
- Will Margolis hold forums with off-campus community groups?
- Will there be a plan for how to manage protesters, should they try to disrupt forums?
- Will Margolis be providing some type of online mechanism for capturing public comment?

UPSOC members are particularly concerned they are being asked to respond to Margolis Healey's scope of work (handout shared at meeting) when the scope is not set yet. They want to avoid responding to two scopes.

**Action:** General Counsel's office to share Margolis Healey's list of forum groups with UPSOC Co-Chairs.

**Discussion:** Counsel Starke then went on to talk about OIR, the contractor that will be handling the investigation into the officer involved shooting incident. This discussion generated additional concerns, such as:

- When will UPSOC interact with OIR regarding the officer involved shooting investigation?
- When will the two reports (Margolis Healey and OIR) be completed and presented to the Board of Trustees?

**Discussion:** Margolis' draft scope of work currently includes ambiguous language UPSOC members would like defined, i.e. "equitable." Similarly "cultural competency." UPSOC members want Margolis to use the PSU definition of these terms and others commonly used on campus. It is important to UPSOC members that the language and phrasing used by Margolis is consistent with PSU practice.

The issue of confidentiality and the media also came up. Committee members wanted to know whether Margolis interviews are confidential. UPSOC members asked for a clarification on how to respond to media requests for interviews. The Co-Chairs asked that these requests be routed to them so they could work with University Communications to manage them.

An UPSOC member is doing national research on campus safety that may be useful to the committee. They are willing to share data the committee feels is germane to this year's work but will need a clear request for specific types of information, and a time-frame in which to produce the data.

**Topic:** Access Control Policy update

**Discussion:** The policy is now open for public comment. Comments will be taken until 10/17/18, when they will be compiled and reconciled with the current draft of the policy. During the reconciliation process, each comment will be addressed and the reconciliation document will be appended to the policy.

**Topic:** Other business

**Discussion:** President Shoureshi will attend the 10/19 meeting.

**Adjourned:** 2:52 pm