This form lists the established criteria for evaluating the RPE Presentation. You may find it useful to record your evaluation for each criterion using the numeric scale suggested.

(Based on the PSU CS Department Ph.D. Comprehensive Examination policy, approved 22 Feb 2006, and published at http://www.pdx.edu/computer-science/doctor-of-philosophy-in-computer-science#Comp_Exam)

**Presenter:** _____________________________________________________________

**Suggested numeric scale:**

- 4: Outstanding, definite Yes
- 3: Passable, could use some improvements in areas
- 2: Weak, needs improvement
- 1: Not sufficiently, definite No

**Oral Presentation:**

1. Was the presentation organized well; did it tell a coherent “story”?

2. Did the presentation summarize the problem, approach, and results obtained?

3. Did the presentation describe the problem addressed by the research, and explain why this problem is interesting and important?

4. Did the presentation describe the research methodology applied?

5. Did the presentation describe the research results obtained?

6. Did the presentation characterize the original contributions of the student explicitly, and clearly distinguish the student’s work from that of their collaborators?

7. Did the presentation describe the relationship with relevant existing work, and not merely summarize the other work?

8. Was the presentation audible and understandable?

Please keep for personal reference.
9. Was the presentation accessible to a general CS audience?

10. Did the presentation finish on time?

**Question and Answer portion of the presentation:**

1. Did the student grasp reasonable questions promptly?

2. Did the student give succinct and correct answers?

3. Did the student engaged in dialogue with the questioner to clarify questions where necessary?

**Comments:**