LECC Members Present
Justice Edwin Peterson, Distinguished Jurist in Residence, Willamette University College of Law
Dr. Jan Chaiken, Consultant
David Fidanque, Executive Director, American Civil Liberties Union of Oregon
Commissioner Annabelle Jaramillo, Benton County
Chief Ron Louie, Hillsboro Police Department
Superintendent Frank Thompson, Santiam Correctional Institution
Lt. Col. Greg Willeford, Oregon State Police

LECC Staff Present
Brian Renauer, Laura Uva, Criminal Justice Policy Research Institute, Portland State University
Mike Stafford, Craig Prins, Oregon Criminal Justice Commission

LECC Members Excused
Associate Professor Keith Aoki, University of Oregon Law School
Dr. William Feyerherm, Vice Provost for Research/Graduate Studies, PSU
Dr. Charles Martinez, Oregon Social Learning Center
Sheriff Raul Ramirez, Marion County

Guests
Rose Lewis, League of Women Voters
Joe O’Leary, Governor’s Office
Luz Rivera, resident of Marion County
Rosanne Sizer, Portland Police Bureau
Catherine Tosswill, Legislative Counsel
Steve Vitolo, Oregon Department Of Transportation Safety Division
Peter Wong, Statesman Journal

Approve Minutes of September 20, 2006 Meeting
Dr. Chaiken asked that the minutes show that he attended the meeting by telephone conference. The minutes were approved as corrected.

Portland Police Bureau Community Outreach
Justice Peterson invited Chief Sizer of the Portland Police Bureau to speak to the LECC. What follows is a summary of her statement and responses by LECC members.

Chief Sizer was appointed Interim Chief of Police of the Portland Police Bureau (PPB) in April of 2006. One of the issues that quickly came to her attention was that PPB had
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collected good stop data for at least the last two years but had not released that information publicly. She also learned that a non-profit organization of community activists called Oregon Action had requested partnership with PPB in engaging in community outreach on the issue of racial profiling. In May of 2006, PPB released a report on their stop data and, in partnership with Oregon Action, attended community listening sessions in each of their precincts. These listening sessions were facilitated very well by Jo Ann Bowman, Associate Director of Oregon Action. Approximately six officers attended each of the listening sessions. These sessions were highly beneficial, for a number of reasons. The police bureau’s simple willingness to have conversations with the public about the issue of race and policing was helpful, and the community listening sessions were structured in such a way that officers felt they could have productive conversations without feeling harassed. This engendered some trust and good feelings among the rank and file of PPB to talk about these very politically charged, sensitive and important issues.

On October 19, 2006, Ms. Bowman, Chief Sizer and Alejandro Queral, Director of the Northwest Constitutional Rights Center, gave a presentation to City Council on the issue of racial profiling. The City Council charged a commission be created that will be a sub-unit of an eventual Human Relations Commission, which will have ongoing review of stop data, discussion about the issue, and a work plan for the police bureau.

Chief Sizer stated that she would like PPB to become more involved with the LECC, and that PPB needs and wants LECC assistance with data analysis. Dr. Chaiken stated that the Data Review Subcommittee has examined the PPB data, and will include some of the data analyses in the LECC 2006 Annual Report, comparing it with other jurisdictions and with survey data. He provided Chief Sizer with a draft of this analysis, and welcomed her feedback. He stated that the LECC would like to meet with the PPB as it develops more informative codes to be used by officers who record information about traffic and pedestrian stops, particularly in regards to searches.

The LECC greatly welcomed more PPB participation in their work. Chief Louie stated that the LECC should consider this PPB model of community outreach a best practice for a larger community. He added that the LECC needs to examine the PPB model to identify more precisely why it was successful. It was agreed that partnering with an organization outside the department was very important to the success of this community outreach.

**LECC Budget**
Dr. Renauer stated that the LECC has sufficient funding from the state for the current fiscal year.

Dr. Renauer informed the subcommittee that the Criminal Justice Policy Research Institute (CJPRI) of Portland State University, of which he is Director, assisted the Oregon Department of Transportation (ODOT) in obtaining a federal grant of $643,000. This grant is to be used for work related to preventing and addressing racially biased policing. Dr Renauer stated that the $643,000 will be used for two years, after which they...
may be able to apply for a similar amount of money to be used for an additional two years. CJPRI is currently applying for a contract under this grant.

Mr. Fidanque moved, Commissioner Jaramillo seconded, and the committee unanimously approved an LECC policy that all or a portion of funding for the LECC should be from the state government.

Justice Peterson asked Mr. Fidanque and Dr. Chaiken to serve as an ad-hoc temporary LECC Budget Subcommittee to meet with staff from Portland State University, Criminal Justice Commission, the ODOT Safety Division, and Mr. O’Leary, to discuss issues pertaining to the Federal NHTSA grant to ODOT and to come to some agreement on the funding process that is going to be used in connection with the grant.

Mr. Fidanque distributed a copy of a federal legislation that is pending in this session but will be reintroduced next session. Among the provisions in this legislation that bear on this discussion are that it includes funding for best practice incentive grants to state and local law enforcement agencies.

**LECC Legislation**

The latest draft of the new LECC legislation (LC 373) was distributed. Mr. Stafford informed the subcommittee that this is the second draft, so any changes would have to be by amendment. This legislation would end the sunset of the LECC, allow the Data Review Subcommittee to receive data more from agencies that have not collected data on all recommended data points, and shift the administration of the LECC from the Criminal Justice Commission to Portland State University (PSU).

The LECC agreed that PSU has been providing great service to the committee, but that it is also critically important that leadership from the top levels of the government show that there is a political commitment and the political will to follow these issues wherever they lead and to take appropriate action. The LECC welcomes continued and expanded participation of PSU, and also remains open to the participation of a state agency in the operations of the LECC.

Mr. Fidanque moved, Dr. Chaiken seconded, and the LECC unanimously approved the pre-session filing of LC 373.

**Oregon Law Enforcement Survey**

Dr. Renauer stated that the report entitled “Training and Stop Data Collection Related to Racial and Ethnic Bias in Oregon Law Enforcement” was distributed about four weeks ago to the leadership of the Oregon State Sheriff’s Association (OSSA), Oregon Association Chiefs of Police (OACP) and Oregon State Police (OSP). Dr. Renauer has received notification from both OSSA and OACP that they distributed the report to their member agencies, and has received no suggestions for changing the report. Dr. Chaiken moved that this report be published on the LECC website and also be included as part of the LECC 2006 Annual Report. Mr. Fidanque seconded and the committee unanimously approved the motion.
Data Review Subcommittee Update
The LECC Data Review Subcommittee held a meeting the morning of this full committee meeting. Dr. Chaiken stated that the draft report entitled “Analysis of Oregon State Police and Hillsboro Police Department Stop Data: 2001-2005” was distributed to these two agencies for their comments. The LECC has received a letter of response from OSP, and expects a response from the Hillsboro Police Department very soon.

Dr. Chaiken stated that this process of distributing the draft to the agencies for their comment and then modifying the report based on their comments has worked well. Dr. Chaiken moved that this response letter from OSP, as well as the letter of response from the Hillsboro Police Department upon receipt, be included in the LECC 2006 Annual Report as appendices. Mr. Fidanque seconded and the committee unanimously approved the motion. The Data Review Subcommittee will incorporate changes into the OSP/Hillsboro Police Department stop data report, which will be included in the LECC 2006 Annual Report.

Dr. Chaiken moved, and the committee unanimously agreed, to invite an OSP representative to give a presentation on the Criminal Apprehension Patrol Enforcement (CAPE) program at a future LECC meeting.

Dr. Chaiken distributed a draft summary of Oregon traffic stop data analysis. The Data Review Subcommittee had edited this summary, and Dr. Chaiken recommended on behalf of the Data Review Subcommittee that the edited version be inserted into the executive summary of the LECC 2006 Annual Report to substitute the section entitled “Five Year Analysis of Stop Data Trends.” The major differences in his summary as compared to what was in the draft annual report are as follows:
- The new summary includes a discussion of the public perception surveys, which were discussed in more detail in the LECC 2005 Annual Report, to provide context
- The new summary includes the Portland Police Bureau stop data in the discussion
- The new summary raises topics in a different order
- The new summary includes more numerical information

Technical Assistance Team
Lt. Col. Willeford informed the committee that the Technical Assistance Team (TAT) has not yet held another formal meeting, but that he and Dr. Renauer had met with the leadership of OSP to discuss the draft report and the letter of response from OSP. They had also sought the opinion of OSP leadership on whether the type of analysis, the questions that were asked, the assumptions made because of the analysis, and the format of the report were workable for police administrators. OSP leadership agreed that it was.

The TAT now plans to convene a meeting and begin work with other agencies.

Community Relations Subcommittee Update
Chief Louie updated the committee on the work of the Community Relations Subcommittee at their meeting on November 1, 2006. The main discussions at this
meeting centered on the law enforcement survey report and the work of the DPSST Scenario Development Workgroup.

**DPSST Scenario Development Workgroup**
The DPSST Scenario Development Workgroup met with the following representatives of DPSST on November 1, 2006:
- Ed Thompson, Tactical Supervisor
- Suzy Isham, Regional Supervisor
- Andrew Taufaasau, Scenario Coordinator

The DPSST representatives recommended that the workgroup finish the prototype scenarios and give them to DPSST as soon as possible. The finishing work to be done on the scenarios includes describing performance objectives, preferred resolution, and resources to deliver the scenario. DPSST also recommended that the LECC draft a proposal to the DPSST Board that describes the committee’s main concerns with training. These include the following:

1) Recommendation that the DPSST Board attend the Simon Wiesenthal Training called "Leadership Initiatives for Command Staff"
2) Recommendation that training on racially biased policing be mandatory
3) Recommendation to invite community members (e.g. college actors, NAACP members, Hispanic community groups) to assist DPSST in scenario training
4) Description of the structure of regional trainings of interest to the LECC for advanced officers, supervisors, and administrators
5) Description of the implementation and funding of the programs
6) Timeline

Dr. Renauer stated that some of the federal grant money will be used for DPSST work.

Chief Louie informed the committee that DPSST has asked him to give a presentation on this material at the command college in March of 2007. Command college is a one-week training for senior executives in law enforcement.

The new DPSST Academy will begin in January of 2007.

**Simon Wiesenthal Center Training**
The following LECC and/or DPSST Scenario Development Workgroup members attended the Simon Wiesenthal Center’s "Leadership Initiatives for Command Staff" training on October 18-20, 2006 in Los Angeles, California:
- Sheriff Todd Anderson, Tillamook County
- Chief Frank Grace, Gladstone Police Department
- Sheriff Raul Ramirez, Marion County
- Lt. Henry Reimann, Hillsboro Police Department
- Frank Thompson, Santiam Correctional Institution
Mr. Thompson stated that this training should be required for all law enforcement administrators. The training was an eye-opening and reaffirming experience for the participants.

**LECC 2006 Annual Report**
Justice Peterson stated that he has a number of suggested changes which he will send to Dr. Renauer soon.

**LECC 2007 Work Plan**
Lt. Col. Willeford distributed a handout outlining a proposed 2007 LECC work plan (see Appendix A).
Dr. Chaiken asked that an item be added to the work plan that indicates a project to strengthen the knowledge of the base population for comparison to stop data statistics.
Justice Peterson stated that he would like to meet with Dr. Renauer to discuss the implementation of the LECC 2007 work plan.

**Adjournment**
The meeting was adjourned at 3:00 p.m.

Further information on the LECC is available at:
http://159.121.112.123/Racial_Profiling/LECPDRC.HTM
Appendix A
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Proposed 2007 Work Plan

1. Legislative advocacy:
   a. LECC Sunset Legislation (LC373)
   b. Funding/budget development for LECC activities 2007-09 biennium

2. Refinement of data collection points i.e., capturing types of searches

3. Continue the development and delivery of technical assistance to Oregon police agencies
   a. Data collection, analysis and reporting best practices
   b. Development of templates and best practice material for Oregon law enforcement agencies on non-bias policing
   c. Development of ongoing training for police agencies aimed at line officers and first line supervisors, mid-management and executive level personnel pertaining to professional stops and non-biased policing

4. Increase the number of Oregon police agencies that are collecting data in accordance with the collection criteria set forth in SB 415 (2001)

5. Continued outreach to agencies that have collected data and provide assistance in the analysis of data

6. Report the findings of the continued analysis of agency stop data, at a minimum, as part of the LECC Annual Report

7. Identification of potential areas of community outreach based upon further work and analysis of stop data

8. Continue participation in statewide surveys of attitudes about police and experience with traffic stops, similar to Oregon Annual Social Indicator Survey (OASIS), at least once every two years

9. Progress reporting on how the newly developed scenario training for basic police training is going