LECC Data Review Subcommittee Members Present
Scott Akins, Subcommittee Chair, Professor, Department of Sociology, Oregon State University
Major Craig Durbin, Oregon State Police
David Fidanque, Executive Director, American Civil Liberties Union of Oregon
Chief Gary Boldizsar, Corvallis Police Department

Other LECC Members Present
Kevin Diaz, Attorney, American Civil Liberties Union of Oregon
Suzy Isham, Department of Public Safety Standards and Training
Annabelle Jaramillo, Benton County Commissioner
Justice Edwin Peterson, Distinguished Jurist in Residence, Willamette University College of Law
Gilbert Carrasco, Professor, Willamette University College of Law
Angie Hedrick, Community Relations Analyst, Salem Police Department

LECC Staff Present
Brian Renauer, Emma Covelli, Lauren Brown, Jonathan Dabney, and John Lehr, Criminal Justice Policy Research Institute, Portland State University
Dr. Jan Chaiken, Consultant
Mike Stafford, LECC staff

Guests
Captain Mike Dingeman, Oregon State Police
Major Joel Lujan, Oregon State Police

Welcome / Introductions
Dr. Akins, chair of the subcommittee welcomed everyone to the meeting.

Approve Agenda
The agenda was approved.

Discussion of OSP Draft Report
a. Quality of Search Justification data added beginning May 2008
New information has been included in this year’s draft report including a variable for the justification for a search. Dr. Chaiken raised concerns about the reliability of this variable
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due to some nonsensical and missing cases; it may be caused by a data extraction error. The committee requests the Oregon State Police follow-up with this new variable to clarify the questionable cases. Action: Dr Chaiken and Major Durbin.

b. Two new data items to be added by beginning of 2011
While looking at the issue of stop/search data collection points the committee decided it would be important to add “search for driver’s license” as one of the data codes. This data point is a recommendation for all police departments in Oregon. The data point would be able to examine the degree to which search disparities are connected to failure to present a license, which is a searchable violation. In order to examine this new data point the committee voted to recommend that the Oregon State Police create a new search code for searches conducted to find identification. Mr. Fidanque moved, Chair Akins seconded and the committee unanimously approved this collection point. The committee voted to recommend all law agencies to add a category for searches carried out for failure to present a driver’s license. Chair Akins moved, Major Durbin seconded and the committee unanimously approved this collection point.

c. Benchmarks for statewide statistics
The committee discussed whether a 5% measure of disparity above a benchmark is appropriate for concluding that the disparity warrants further attention/concern. The committee agreed that the “5% rule” was appropriate for examining stop disparities using a race/ethnicity population benchmark. However, the committee does not recommend using the “5% rule” for the other recommended benchmarks – daytime/nighttime stop comparisons, and search disparity analysis – because these analyses typically entail smaller samples of cases and there is no established recommendation to do so in national research.

d. Update on analysis daytime/nighttime benchmark
The data item of beat code was used to ascertain location of the Oregon State Police stops while civil twilight for the location and date (established by the US Naval Observatory) was used to determine whether stops were in daylight or at nighttime. Results did not show a greater proportion of minority stops in the daylight when race is more easily visible. This variable will be revisited when driver’s state of residence is established. Action: Dr Chaiken and Dr. Renauer

e. Agreement not to pursue at this time other benchmarks for stops (collisions, stops initiated by radar)
The committee determined they would not ask the Oregon State Police to collect data on traffic accidents because it would limit the crash data to only incidents with OSP response. For other traffic incidents, data would need to be collected from the Oregon Department of Motor Vehicles who does not include the driver’s race as a data point. Therefore the committee determined that there is no good reason to recommend the Oregon State Police to collect crash data. The committee also decided to not ask the
Oregon State Police to collect data on stops initiated by radar. Mr. Fidanque moved, Chair Akins seconded and the committee unanimously approved to not pursue these collection points.

f. Supplementary tabulations not in annual report
Supplementary tabulations of data broken down by the four Oregon State Police regions will not be included in the annual report but may be distributed to the Oregon State Police for internal use.

**Benton County Follow-up**
The committee postponed discussion of the Benton County Presentation to the Full Committee Meeting.

**2010 Annual Report**
The committee agreed to include the OSP Traffic Stop Report and the Stop/Search Data Collection Presentation Report in the 2010 Annual Report, with the addition of a few minor changes. The committee postponed having a thorough discussion of possible changes to the Full Committee Meeting.

**Other Business / Open Comments from Data Review Subcommittee**
None

**Public Comments**
None

**Adjournment**
The meeting was adjourned at 11:16 a.m.

Further information on the LECC is available at:
http://www.cjpri.ccj.pdx.edu/LECC/index.php